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Abstract
This work summarises recent progress [1, 2] obtained by the mini-
superspace quantization of $\mathcal{N} = 1$, $d = 4$ supergravity, formulated in the
framework of the Bianchi IX cosmological model. The emphasis is put on three main results: the completeness of the solution space obtained,
the elements suggesting a hidden Kac-Moody structure of the theory and
those leading to conjecture an avoidance of the cosmological singularity
by some branches of the wave function of the Universe.
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1 Some Motivations
In the framework of Einstein gravity, under very general assumptions, several theorems [3] predict ineluctable occurrence of cosmological singularities. These singularities reflect the inadequacy of a classical description of the physics of the first instants of the Universe. The relevant theory — maybe a workable
string field theory — is not yet known. However, we may think that the era since which physics is reasonably well described by a metric governed by general relativity is preceded by an epoch where a quantized version of it is relevant. Of course this is only a pis aller, comparable to the quantization of the hydrogen atom or to the Fermi model that can be seen as approximations to quantum electrodynamics or quantum non-abelian gauge theories.

On the other hand, a study of classical cosmological solutions in the vicinity of their singularities [4, 5] has shown that in a generic case the dynamics of the system becomes chaotic. Even homogeneous cosmologies like the Bianchi VIII or IX models approximately evolve as a succession of periods described by Kasner like metrics:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + \sum_k t^{2p_k}(\theta^k)^2$$

separated by collisions. Here \{\theta^k\} is a set of \(SO(2,1)\) or \(SU(2)\) invariant forms and \{p_k\} the set of so-called Kasner exponents. These exponents are constants during each period, but randomly reshuffled by the collision processes. The evolution of the classical geometry of a general non-homogeneous model appears as an ensemble of independent Bianchi VIII or IX models attached to each point of a 3-dimensional section of the spacetime.

A finer analysis of this dynamics has put into evidence some surprising elements, suggesting a possible hidden symmetry of gravity or, if not, at least of the solution space of the equations of the theory. Indeed, for all models of supergravity it was shown that there exists a correspondence between the dynamics of some of their degrees of freedom and the dynamics of a spinning particle moving on a (formal) coset manifold built from the quotient of a Kac-Moody group by its maximally compact subgroup[6, 7, 8]. For instance, the well known BKL oscillatory behaviour of the diagonal components of a generic, inhomogeneous Einsteinian vacuum metric in \(d = 4\) was found to be equivalent to a billiard motion within the Weyl chamber of the rank 3 hyperbolic Kac-Moody algebra \(AE_3\). Similarly, the generic BKL like dynamics of the bosonic sector of maximal supergravity (considered either in \(d = 11\), or, after dimensional reduction, in \(4 \leq d \leq 10\)) leads to a chaotic billiard motion within the Weyl chamber of the rank-10 hyperbolic Kac-Moody algebra \(E_{10}\). For reviews on this, see for instance \([8], [9]\).

Hereafter we shall illustrate these considerations in the framework of \(\mathcal{N} = 1,\ d = 4\) simple supergravity. Our strategy is a generalisation of the one used in Ref. \([10]\). After having dimensionally reduced this theory on a \(SU(2) \cong S^3\) manifold (the arena of the Bianchi IX cosmological model), we quantize it à la Dirac. We have so obtained an exhaustive description of the solution space of the corresponding Wheeler DeWitt constraint equations. In particular, new solutions, overlooked in all previous works we know on the subject, have been encountered. We also have shown the existence of elements characteristic of an underlying Kac-Moody algebra hidden in the algebra of the quantum operators that are at the basis of all the quantum dynamics. These operators generate a spinorial extension\([11, 12, 13]\) of the \(AE_3\) Weyl group. In the limit of small
wavelengths they lead to a chaotic quantum evolution of the Universe near the singularity. They also provide arguments allowing us to conjecture that some components of the wave function of the Universe avoid the cosmological singularity.

2 The Minisuperspace Model

Specifically the model we consider rests on the supergravity Lagrangian density:

\[ \mathcal{L}_{T_{tot}} = \theta \left[ L_{E-H} + \frac{1}{8} T^3 T_\alpha - \frac{1}{16} T^{\alpha \beta} T_\gamma T_\beta - \frac{3}{32} T^{\alpha \beta \gamma} T_\alpha T_\beta T_\gamma \right] \]  

where

\[ T_{\alpha \beta} := \bar{\psi}_\beta \gamma_\alpha \psi_\alpha, \quad T_\alpha = \bar{\psi}_\alpha \gamma^\beta \psi_\beta. \]

expressed in terms of a co-frame \( \{ \theta^\mu \}_{\mu = 0, \ldots, 3} \) and a Majorana vector-spinor (spin 3/2) field \( \psi_\alpha \).

Here \( L_{E-H} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{R} \) and \( \dot{L}_{3/2} = -\frac{1}{2} e^{\alpha \beta \gamma} \bar{\psi}_\alpha \gamma_\beta \gamma_\gamma \nabla_\gamma \psi_\beta \) are respectively the Einstein-Hilbert and the Rarita-Schwinger Lagrangians built with the metric \( ds^2 = \eta_{\alpha \beta} \theta^\alpha \theta^\beta \) and the associated Levi-Civita connection. An important difference between our approach and numerous previous ones (see for instance Refs [14] – [20]) is that we fix from the beginning the Lorentz gauge by univocally specifying a vierbein expressed as (time dependent) combinations of the 1-form \( dt \) and the three invariant forms on \( SU(2) : \tau^a(x) \) (which satisfy \( d\tau^a + \epsilon^{abc} \tau^b \wedge \tau^c = 0 \)).

In terms of them the metric reads:

\[ g_{\mu \nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = -N^2(t) dt^2 + g_{ab}(x) (\tau^a(x) + N^a(t)) dt + N^b(t) dt \]

We parametrize \( \text{a la Gauss} \) the Euclidean metric matrix components

\[ g_{bc}(t) = \sum_a e^{-2\beta^a(t)} S^a_{\ b} [\phi^m(t)] S^a_{\ c} [\phi^n(t)] \]

in terms of its (time dependent) eigenvalues \( e^{-2\beta^a} \) and the orthogonal matrix \( S^a_{\ b} [\phi^c(t)] \) (depending on three time dependent Euler angles denoted \( \{ \phi^a(t) \} \)) that diagonalises it. This decomposition allows one to fix the coframe \( \theta^\alpha = h^{\alpha \mu} dx^\mu \) as:

\[ \theta^0 = N(t) dt, \quad \theta^a = \sum_b e^{-2\beta^b(t)} S^b_{\ a} (\phi^c(t)) (\tau^b(x) + N^b(t)) dt \]

Accordingly we do not have to worry about the six local Lorentz constraints. This simplification is the main technical ingredient that distinguishes our work from all the previous ones on the subject, and allows us to completely solve the quantization of the reduced problem. To go ahead we also introduce the frame

\[ \theta^{\alpha}(t) = \sum_{\mu} e^{-2\beta^{\mu}(t)} S^{\mu}_{\ a}(t) \theta_{\ a}(t) \]

and the associated Levi-Civita connection. An important difference between our approach and numerous previous ones (see for instance Refs [14] – [20]) is that we fix from the beginning the Lorentz gauge by univocally specifying a vierbein expressed as (time dependent) combinations of the 1-form \( dt \) and the three invariant forms on \( SU(2) : \tau^a(x) \) (which satisfy \( d\tau^a + \epsilon^{abc} \tau^b \wedge \tau^c = 0 \)).
angular velocity \( \dot{S} \left( S^{-1} \right) \hat{a} = \epsilon_{bc} \omega^c \). Moreover we redefine the sixteen fermionic variables as \( \Psi_\alpha := g^{1/4} \psi_\alpha \), where \( g := (\det g_{\alpha \beta}) = \exp[-1/2(\beta^1 + \beta^2 + \beta^3)] \) and finally put \( \Psi'_0 = \Psi_0 - g_0^0 \gamma^0 \Psi_\alpha \). In terms of these sixteen fermionic variables and ten bosonic ones \( (N, {\Lambda}^a, \beta^a, \phi^a) \) we may rewrite the Lagrangian density into a Hamiltonian form, a first step for quantization (from now we use units such that \( h = 1, \omega = 1, G = 2 \pi \) (so that \( 8 \pi G = 16 \pi^2 = \text{vol}(S^3) \)):

\[
\mathcal{L}_H = \pi_a \dot{\beta}^a + p_\omega \phi - 1 + 1_2 \bar{\gamma}^{\hat{a} \hat{b}} \Psi_\hat{b} + \bar{\Psi}_0 S - N \sqrt{\gamma} \mathcal{H} - N^a H_a
\tag{7}
\]

We observe the occurrence of eight Lagrange multipliers. The four components of the spinor \( \Psi'_0, N \sqrt{\gamma} \) and \( N^a \), respectively multiplying the four generators of supersymmetry \( \mathcal{S} \) (here we didn’t make the spinor indices explicit), and the four spacetime reparametrizations generators \( \mathcal{H}, H_a \) (whose explicit expression are provided in Ref. [10]). As usual the kinetic term of the Lagrangian fixes the (anti)commutation rules of the (fermionic) bosonic variables. For the latter we adopt a Schrödinger representation on a space of functions depending on the \( \beta^a \) and \( \phi^a \) variables; the conjugate momentum operators being represented by: \( \tilde{\pi}_\alpha = -i \partial_{\beta^a}, \tilde{\rho}_\phi = -i \partial_{\phi^a} \) (the operators \( \tilde{\rho}_\phi \) being \( \phi^a \)-dependent linear combinations of the latter ones; see Ref. [10] for a discussion of this point).

For the fermionic variables, we read from their kinetic terms in Eq. [7] that the corresponding operators have to obey the anticommutation relations:

\[
\{ \tilde{\psi}_A^a, \tilde{\psi}_B^b \} = -i 2 (\gamma_k \gamma_0 \gamma^0 C^{-1})^{AB}
\tag{8}
\]

where \( C \) is a charge conjugation matrix: \( C \gamma^\mu = (C \gamma^\mu)^T \).

3 Classical Evidences for a Hidden Kac-Moody Structure

When reduced on \( SU(2) \), using the coframe defined by Eqs [6], the purely gravitational part of the Lagrangian [1] reduces to:

\[
8\pi G \mathcal{L}_{EH} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{N} e^{-\sum \alpha^a} \left\{ -\left( \beta^1 \beta^2 + \beta^2 \beta^3 + \beta^3 \beta^1 \right) + 1 (N^2 + \omega^2)^3 \sinh^2[\beta^2 - \beta^3]\right.
\]

\[
+ (N^2 + \omega^2)^3 \sinh^2[\beta^3 - \beta^1] + (N^3 + \omega^3)^3 \sinh^2[\beta^1 - \beta^2] \left. \right\}
\]

\[
- N e^{-\sum \alpha^a} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \sum_b e^{-2(2 \beta^a - \sum \alpha^a)} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_b e^{2 \beta^b} \right\}.
\]

This result displays several structures. Firstly, from the kinetic part of the scale factor exponents we recognise the reduced form of the DeWitt metric:

\[
(G_{ab}) = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -1 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & -1 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
\tag{9}
\]
a 3-dimensional Lorentzian metric, with signature \((-, +, +)\). We also notice
that the rotational kinetic term presents singularities on the symmetry walls:
\(w_1^2(\beta) := \beta^2 - \beta^1 = 0\), \(w_2^2(\beta) := \beta^3 - \beta^2 = 0\), \(w_3^3(\beta) := \beta^3 - \beta^1 = 0\).

Finally, the last, potential term exhibits the following “gravitational walls”:
\(w_1^2(\beta) := 2\beta^1 = 0\), \(w_2^2(\beta) := 2\beta^2 = 0\), \(w_3^3(\beta) := 2\beta^3 = 0\).

There is a remarkable connection between this geometrical structure of the
\(\beta^a\)-space and the Kac-Moody algebra \(AE_3\). Actually the connection extends to
all supergravity theories (see Ref. [9] for a pedagogical review on this), but here
we shall restrict ourselves to the specific case we are considering: the simple
\(N = 1, d = 4\) supergravity. The Kac-Moody algebras that are relevant for
our purpose are defined from the data of an invertible \(n \times n\) Cartan matrix \(A\) whose
entries satisfy the conditions
\[
\forall \, i, j = 1, \ldots, n : \quad A_{ij} = 2 \quad \text{,} \quad A_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_- \quad (i \neq j) \quad , \quad A_{ij} = 0 \text{ iff } A_{ji} = 0 .
\]

(10)

Moreover we also require the matrix \(A\) to be symmetrizable \(i.e.\) that it exists a
diagonal matrix \(D = \text{diag}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_n)\) and a symmetric matrix \(S\) such that
\(A = DS\). The Kac-Moody algebra itself is obtained from the Chevalley-Serre
relations, which intertwine \(n\) \(sl(2)\) algebras as follows. Let us consider a set of \(n\)
triplet of generators \(\{e_i, f_i, h_i\} : \quad [h_i, e_i] = 2e_i, \quad [h_i, f_i] = -2f_i, \quad [e_i, f_i] = h_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n\) and extend their commutation relations to
\[
[h_i, h_j] = 0 , \quad [h_i, e_j] = A_{ij} e_i , \quad [h_i, f_j] = -A_{ij} f_i , \quad [e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} \ e_i ,
\]

(11)

plus the so-called Serre relations
\[
\text{ad}^{1-A_{ij}}(e_j) = 0 = \text{ad}^{1-A_{ij}}(f_j) \quad , \quad (i \neq j) .
\]

(12)

Unless \(S\) is a positive-definite matrix, the algebra generated by these relations
leads to an infinite dimensional simple algebra. As in the case of finite simple Lie
algebras, the matrix elements of \(S\) lead to a (in general non-euclidean) metric
structure on the root space, and on the algebra itself. On the simple roots it reads:
\[
\langle \alpha_i | \alpha_j \rangle := S_{ij} .
\]

(13)

By duality it leads to a scalar product on the Cartan subalgebra, the subspace
generated by the \(h_i\)
\[
\langle h_i | h_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} S_{ij} ,
\]

(14)

and by requiring invariance \(\langle x | y \rangle = \langle x | y, z \rangle\) it can be extended to the all
algebra.

On the other hand, the algebra is invariant with respect to the “exchange” of the \(e_i\) and \(f_i\) generators: the Chevalley involution, given by
\[
\omega(e_i) = -f_i \quad , \quad \omega(f_i) = -e_i \quad , \quad \omega(h_i) = -h_i .
\]

(15)

This automorphism of the algebra allows one to define a “maximally compact”
subalgebra, the subalgebra of the elements fixed by the involution, generated by

\[
\text{diag}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_n) .
\]

(16)
the elements \( x_i := e_i - f_i \). The scalar product \( \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle \) is negative definite on this subalgebra.

The link between the dynamical system we study and the Kac-Moody algebra \( AE_3 \) is obtained as follows. On the Lorentzian \( \beta \)-space, the symmetry and gravitational walls define (hyper-)planes. Near the cosmological singularity (the asymptotic regime where \( \beta^0 := \sum a \beta^a(t) \) becomes large) these walls become sharp. The classical behaviour of the geometry is well approximated by the motion of a free spinning particle (whose position is given by \( \beta^a(t) \)) moving on null lines in the \((2 + 1)\)-dimensional Lorentzian space of metric \( G_{ab} \) and bouncing on the symmetry and gravitational walls that bound a region in which the particle motion becomes asymptotically confined.

Remarkable facts are that these structures are also encountered in the root space of the \( AE_3 \) Kac-Moody algebra. The planes defining the walls are those corresponding to the simple roots of the algebra. The regions between them can be identified with Weyl chambers and the reflection on the walls as the (spinorial) Weyl group reflections[13]. Moreover a truncated subset of the supergravity equations of motion are the same as those of a \( \sigma \)-model defined on a coset obtained by quotienting the (formal) Kac-Moody group by its maximally compact subgroup[21].

4 Dirac Quantization

The quantization of the fermionic field is greatly simplified by the introduction of new gravitino variables[13] : \( \Phi^a_A = \sum_B \gamma^a_{AB} \Psi^B_A \), in terms of which the action becomes:

\[
S = \int dt \left[ \pi^a \dot{\beta}^a + p_a \omega^a + \frac{i}{2} G_{ab} \Phi^a_A \Phi^b_A - \Psi^A S_A - \tilde{N} H - N^a H_a \right] ,
\]

involving, for the fermionic kinetic term, again the \( AE_3 \) root-space metric. This reflects once more the supersymmetry invariance of this action. Their corresponding operators have to obey the anticommutation relations:

\[
\{ \Phi^a_A, \Phi^b_B \} = G^{ab} \delta_{AB} ,
\]

which constitute the Clifford algebra Spin \((8^+, 4^-)\). Accordingly, the \( \Phi^a_A \) operators will be represented by appropriate \( 64 \times 64 \) matrices acting on a \( 64 \)-dimensional spinor of \( SO(8, 4) \) : the wave function of the Universe \( \Psi = \Psi[\beta, \varphi] \), whose components depend \textit{a priori} on the \( \beta^a \) and \( \varphi^a \).

The diffeomorphism constraints greatly simplify the functional form of \( \Psi \). They reduce to:

\[
\hat{p}_{\varphi^a} \Psi = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi^a} \Psi = 0
\]

and thus imply that \( \Psi \) is \( \varphi^a \) independent. The resulting “s-wave” function \( \Psi(\beta^a) \) must still be submitted to “five” constraints:

\[
\tilde{S}_A(\pi, \beta, \Phi) \Psi = 0, \quad \tilde{H}(\pi, \beta, \Phi) \Psi = 0 .
\]
These constraints constitute a (heavily overdetermined) system of $4 \times 64 = 320$ partial differential equations for the 64 components of $\Psi$, 64 functions $\Psi_{\alpha}(\beta^1, \beta^2, \beta^3)$.

Actually there exists a (natural) ordering of the operators $\hat{S}_A$ and $\hat{H}$ such that they verify the (anti)commutation relations

$$\hat{S}_A \hat{S}_B + \hat{S}_B \hat{S}_A = 4i \sum_C \hat{L}_{AB}^{\alpha}(\beta) \hat{S}_C + \frac{1}{2} \hat{H} \delta_{AB}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

$$[\hat{S}_A, \hat{H}] = \hat{M}_A^{\beta}(\beta) \hat{S}_B$$ \hspace{1cm} (21)

the second ones being a trivial consequence of the first. Thus it “only” suffices to solve a system of 256 partial first order equations. To this aim we introduce a diamond structure on the space of solutions of the constraining equations by defining “creation” and “annihilation” operators, obtained from chiral projection of the fermionic operators[2] ($b^k_+ \propto (1 - i \gamma^5) B^A_{\beta} \hat{\Phi}^A_k$, $\tilde{b}^k_- \propto (1 + i \gamma^5) B^A_{\beta} \hat{\Phi}^A_k$; here we denote the hermitian conjugate of an operator by a tilde):

$$b^k_+ = \hat{\Phi}^k_1 + i \hat{\Phi}^k_2, \quad b^k_- = \hat{\Phi}^k_3 - i \hat{\Phi}^k_4, \quad \tilde{b}^k_+ = \hat{\Phi}^k_3 + i \hat{\Phi}^k_4,$$ \hspace{1cm} (22)

which, as a consequence of the anticommutation relations [17], have as only non-vanishing anticommutators:

$$\{b^k_-, \tilde{b}^l_+\} = 2 G^{kl} \delta_{\epsilon \epsilon'} \text{Id}_{64}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (23)

Expressed in terms of these operators, the supersymmetry constraints have the form

$$\hat{S}_\epsilon = i \frac{1}{2} \partial_\beta b^k_+ b^k_+ + \alpha_k b^k_+ b^k_+ + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{klm} B^{klm}_\epsilon + \rho_{klm} C^{klm}_\epsilon + \frac{1}{2} \nu_{klm} D^{klm}_\epsilon$$ \hspace{1cm} (24)

where

$$B^{klm}_\epsilon = b^k_+ b^l_+ \tilde{b}^m_- - G^{km} b^l_+ b^m_+ \tilde{b}^k_-, \quad C^{klm}_\epsilon = b^k_+ b^l_+ \tilde{b}^m_- - G^{km} b^l_+ b^m_+ \tilde{b}^k_-, \quad D^{klm}_\epsilon = b^k_+ b^l_+ \tilde{b}^m_-$$ \hspace{1cm} (25)

and all the tensor components $\alpha_k, \mu_{klm}, \rho_{klm}, \nu_{klm}$ are $\beta$-dependent but purely imaginary, as they must be to assure hermiticity of the operators. Their explicit forms are displayed in Ref. [2]. Let us also introduce a fermion number operator $\tilde{N}_F$:

$$\tilde{N}_F := G_{ab} b^a_+ b^a_+ + G_{ab} \tilde{b}^a_- b^a_- = \frac{1}{2} G_{ab} \hat{\Phi}^A_1 \gamma^{123} \hat{\Phi}^A_b + 3$$ \hspace{1cm} (26)

that will be a key tool to describe the structure of the solution space of the quantum constraint equations.

5 Solution Space Structure

The wave function we are looking for has to satisfy the four constraint equations:

$$\hat{S}_\epsilon \Psi = 0 = \tilde{\hat{S}}_\epsilon \Psi$$ \hspace{1cm} (27)
By noticing that \( \tilde{S}_{\varepsilon} \tilde{N}_F = (\tilde{N}_F - 1) \tilde{S}_{\varepsilon} \) and \( \tilde{S}_{\varepsilon} \tilde{N}_F = (\tilde{N}_F + 1) \tilde{S}_{\varepsilon} \) we may easily prove that the solution space splits according to the fermion number eigenvalues \( N_F \), that runs from 0 to 6. This leads to a diamond structure of the solution space. Starting from a unique lower state built on \( \Psi_0 \) such that \( b^k \Psi_0 = 0 \) we decompose the 64-dimensional subspace into seven levels:

- **Level 0**: \( \Psi_0 \) (dim=1)
- **Level 1**: \( \{ \tilde{b}^k_+, \tilde{b}^k_- \} \Psi_0 \) (dim=6=2 \times 3)
- **Level 2**: \( \{ \tilde{b}^k_+ \tilde{b}^l_+, \tilde{b}^k_- \tilde{b}^l_- \} \Psi_0 \) (dim=15=6+3+3+3)
- **Level 3**: \( \{ \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{klm} \tilde{b}^k_+ \tilde{b}^l_+ \tilde{b}^m_- \tilde{b}^l_- + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{klm} \tilde{b}^k_- \tilde{b}^l_- \tilde{b}^m_+ \tilde{b}^l_+ \} \Psi_0 \) (dim=20=2 \times (3+1+6))
- **Level 4**: \( \{ \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{klm} \tilde{b}^k_+ \tilde{b}^l_+ \tilde{b}^m_- \tilde{b}^l_- + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{klm} \tilde{b}^k_- \tilde{b}^l_- \tilde{b}^m_+ \tilde{b}^l_+ \} \Psi_0 \) (dim=15=6+3+3+3)
- **Level 5**: \( \{ \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{klm} \tilde{b}^k_+ \tilde{b}^l_+ \tilde{b}^m_- \tilde{b}^l_- + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{klm} \tilde{b}^k_- \tilde{b}^l_- \tilde{b}^m_+ \tilde{b}^l_+ \} \Psi_0 \) (dim=6=3+3)
- **Level 6**: \( b^k_+ b^l_+ b^m_- b^l_- \Psi_0 \) (dim=1)

More explicitly, denoting by \( x := e^{2\beta_1}, y := e^{2\beta_2}, z := e^{2\beta_3} \), and writing the level zero solution as \( \Psi(0) = f \Psi_0 \), we find a unique (modulo rescaling) solution \( f \) from a set of three (compatible) equations:

\[
\frac{i}{2} \partial_\beta f - \phi_0 f = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \phi_0 = -i \left\{ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{x} + \frac{3}{8} \frac{y(x - z) + z(x - y)}{(x - y)(x - z)} \right\}, \text{cyclic perm.}
\]

(28)

Its solution, depending on one normalisation constant, is:

\[
f = f_0 \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{z} \right] ((x - y)(y - z)(z - x))^{3/8} \quad .
\]

(29)

This result is close to previously obtained solutions, but differs by factors vanishing on the symmetry walls.

At level 1, we write the wave function as \( \Psi_1 = \sum_{\ell} \psi_\ell f^\ell_\ell \Psi_0 \). It a priori depends on six unknown functions. They are determined by the equations:

\[
\mathcal{S}_\ell \Psi_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{i}{2} \partial_\beta f^\ell_\ell + \nu^\ell f^\ell_\ell = 0 \quad ,
\]

(30)

and

\[
\mathcal{S}_\ell \Psi_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \tilde{\nu}_{[kl]}^m f^\ell_m = 0 \\
\frac{i}{2} \partial_\beta f^\ell_1 + \tilde{\nu}[kl] f^\ell_1 - 2 \tilde{\varphi}[kl]^m f^\ell_m = 0 \\
\frac{i}{2} \partial_\beta f^\ell_2 + \tilde{\nu}[kl] f^\ell_2 - 2 \tilde{\varphi}[kl]^m f^\ell_m = 0 
\end{cases} .
\]

(31)
The general solution of this system now depends on two arbitrary constants \( f_0 \) and reads as:

\[
f_k^\epsilon = f^\epsilon \{ x(y - z), y(z - x), z(x - y) \}
\]

(32)

with

\[
f^\epsilon = f_0^\epsilon \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{z} \right) \right] (x y z)^{3/8} \left( (x - y)(y - z)(z - x) \right)^{-3/8}.
\]

(33)

These solutions, as the previous ones, decay exponentially under the gravitational walls. Let us notice that, by contrast to what happens at level 0, here the solutions diverge on the symmetry walls, but not too abruptly; in a square integrable way. We mention in passing that previous works on the problem concluded to the absence of solutions at odd levels.

At the two next levels (2 and 3) the structure of the solutions becomes more involved (and more interesting). At level 2 we write the fifteen dimensional general solution as:

\[
\Psi(2) = 1/2 \sum_{\epsilon, \epsilon' = \pm} \sum_{k, k'} f_{k k'}^{\epsilon \epsilon'} b_k^\epsilon b_{k'}^{\epsilon'} \Psi_0 \quad \text{with} \quad f_{k k'}^{\epsilon \epsilon'} = -f_{k' k}^{\epsilon' \epsilon}.
\]

(34)

Nine of these fifteen components can be expressed in closed form. They depend on three arbitrary constants. We obtain for \( \epsilon = \epsilon' = \pm \):

\[
\{ f_{12}^\pm, f_{23}^\pm, f_{34}^\pm \} = f^\epsilon \{ 2(x y - y z - x z) + x y z, \ \text{cyclic perm.} \}
\]

(35)

with

\[
f^\epsilon = \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{z} \right) \right] (x y z)^{-3/4} (x - y)^{-1/8} (y - z)^{-1/8} (y - z)^{-1/8}
\]

\[
\quad \left( C_1 (x - z)^{-1/2} + \epsilon C_2 (y - z)^{-1/2} \right)
\]

(36)

When \( \epsilon \neq \epsilon' \), the antisymmetric part of the amplitude of the wave function still leads to a discrete mode, depending on one constant:

\[
\{ f_{12}^+, f_{23}^+, f_{34}^+ \} = f^+ \{ 2(x y - y z - x z) + x y z, \ \text{cyclic perm.} \}
\]

(37)

\[
f^+ = C_3 \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{z} \right) \right] (x y z)^{-3/4} (y - z)^{-1/8} (x - y)^{-5/8}.
\]

However the six symmetric amplitudes \( f_{(k l)}^+ =: k_{k l} \) are propagating modes!

They obey Maxwell-like equations:

\[
\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} k_{p a} + \phi^p k_{p a} - 2 \rho^p k_{p q} = 0
\]

(38)

\[
\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} k_{b j c} - \phi_{(a} k_{b j c)} + \kappa_{(a} k_{b j c)}^p k_{p c} + 2 \kappa_{(a |} k_{b j c)}^{p |} k_{b j p} = 0
\]

(39)

whose compatibility is guaranteed by Bianchi-like identities: \( \delta^2 = 0 = \delta^2 \). They split into:
• five constraint equations (involving no “time derivative”) ,
• six evolution equations (with respect to the \( \beta \)-time : \( \beta^0 = \beta^1 + \beta^2 + \beta^3 \)) .

The general solution of Eqs [38, 39] is parametrized by two arbitrary functions of two variables (leaving in a plane \( \beta^0 = Cst \)) from which we compute (via an Euler-Darboux-Poisson equation) the Cauchy data for the six \( k_{ab} \), which then propagate thanks to the six evolution equations : \( \partial_{\beta^i} k_{ab} = \ldots \).

A similar analysis can be done at level 3. The twenty components of 

\[ \Psi_{(3)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_\epsilon \frac{1}{3!} f^\epsilon \eta_{pqr} \tilde{h}^p \tilde{h}^q \tilde{h}^r + \frac{1}{2} h^\epsilon_{pqr} \tilde{h}^p \tilde{h}^q \tilde{h}^r \]  

(40)

split into two subsets (associated with the two values of \( \epsilon \)) of ten each, which decouple in the constraint equations. Defining the dual components \( h^\epsilon_{a b} = \frac{1}{2} \eta^{a b} h^\epsilon_{pqr} \), we obtain that all modes may be expressed in terms of \( h^\epsilon_{(a b)} \) and a single function that parametrize \( h^\epsilon_{[a b]} \). These seven functions have to satisfy a (compatible) system of twelve first-order partial differential equations. The situation is similar to what we encountered at the previous level 2. Again we have equations of “curl” and “div” types. They lead to general solutions that for each value of the index \( \epsilon \) depend on two arbitrary functions of two variables (plus one arbitrary constant). We refer the reader interested in to the details to our works already quoted.

On the solution space we have an up-down symmetry between solutions of level \( N_F \) and those of level \( 6 - N_F \). Having a solution at a given level \( N_F \), by the transformation \( (x \mapsto -x, y \mapsto -y, z \mapsto -z) \) we obtain a solution leaving in the symmetric level \( 6 - N_F \). This allows us to not discuss solutions at levels 4, 5 and 6, except to mention that all the explicitly given solutions [29, 32, 35, 37] are mapped on solutions that now grow exponentially into the gravitational walls. Accordingly we propose not to retain them.

6 Quantum Evidences for a Hidden Kac-Moody Structure

The explicit expression of the Hamiltonian operator occurring in the anticommutation relations [20] is :

\[ \hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \left( G^{ab} (\hat{\pi}_a + i A_a) (\hat{\pi}_b + i A_b) + \hat{\mu}^2 + \tilde{W}(\beta) \right) \]  

(41)

where the vector potential term \( A_a = \partial_a \ln [e^{\frac{1}{2} \beta_0} \sinh \beta_{12} \sinh \beta_{23} \sinh \beta_{31}]^{-1} \) is pure gauge and thus can be eliminated by a multiplicative redefinition of the wave function \( \Psi \). The potential term \( \hat{\mu}^2 + \tilde{W}(\beta) \) contains various interesting operators. Firstly let us decompose its \( \beta \)-depending part into three parts according to :

\[ \tilde{W}(\beta) = \tilde{W}^{bos.}(\beta) + \tilde{W}^{fer.}(\beta) + \tilde{W}^{syn.}(\beta) \]  

(42)
The first one is spin independent, i.e. proportional to $I_d^{64}$, and is (twice) the usual potential generating the chaotic mixmaster dynamic\cite{4, 5} of the Bianchi IX cosmological model:

$$\hat{W}^{\text{bos}}_g (\beta) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-4\beta^4} - e^{-2(\beta^2 + \beta^3)} + \text{cyclic}_{123}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

The second one, that becomes singular on the symmetry walls, is quartic in the fermionic operators. It involves the square of matrices representing a (reducible) $su(2)$ spin algebra:

$$\hat{W}^{\text{fer}}_g (\beta, \hat{\Phi}) = e^{-\alpha_{11}(\beta)} \hat{J}_{11}(\hat{\Phi}) + e^{-\alpha_{22}(\beta)} \hat{J}_{22}(\hat{\Phi}) + e^{-\alpha_{33}(\beta)} \hat{J}_{33}(\hat{\Phi}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

The last one involves the gravitational walls

$$\hat{W}^{\text{fer}}_g (\beta, \hat{\Phi}) = e^{-\alpha_{11}(\beta)} \hat{J}_{11}(\hat{\Phi}) + e^{-\alpha_{22}(\beta)} \hat{J}_{22}(\hat{\Phi}) + e^{-\alpha_{33}(\beta)} \hat{J}_{33}(\hat{\Phi}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

Remarkably the set $\hat{J}_{\alpha_i} = \{ \hat{S}_{12}, \hat{S}_{23}, \text{and} \hat{J}_{11} \}$ generates (via commutators) a (reducible) 64-dimensional representation of the (infinite-dimensional) “maximally compact” sub-algebra $K(\text{AE}_3) \subset \text{AE}_3$. The following exponentials of these operators, $\hat{R}_{\alpha_i} := \text{Exp}[\pm i\pi/2 \hat{J}_{\alpha_i}]$, that verify $(\hat{R}_{\alpha_i})^8 = \text{Id}$, generate a spinorial extension\cite{13} of $\text{AE}_3$.

Far from the wall the potential reduces to $\hat{\mu}^2$. Classically this term is zero. Quantum mechanically it is an operator that is quartic in the fermionic fields and given by

$$\hat{\mu}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \hat{C}_2 - \frac{7}{8} \hat{C}_3^2 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{7}{8} \left( \frac{1}{2} G_{ab} \hat{F}^a_b \gamma^{123} \hat{F}^b \right)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (47)

This term plays the rôle of a mass for the particle representing the evolution of the wave function of the Universe in a short wave (WKB) limit. Its eigenvalues are: $(-59/8)_{12}, -3_{12}, -3/2_{30}, +1/2_{20}$, and lead to an asymptotically spacelike motion (in $\beta$ space) for more than a half of the continuous solution subspaces.

\section{7 Conclusions}

We have obtain a description of the space of solution of the constraint equations resulting from the quantization of the $N = 1, d = 4$ simple supergravity,
in the framework of the minisuperspace defined by the Bianchi IX cosmological model. This space appears as filled both with discrete modes \( i.e. \) solutions depending only on a finite number of constants, and continuous modes \( i.e. \) solutions depending on arbitrary functions. These solutions are labeled by a fermionic number \( N_F \) that ranges from 0 to 6. At level zero we have a unique ground state, describing a localised Planckian size Universe, whose wave function decays in the gravitational walls and vanishes on the symmetry walls. At level \( N_F = 1 \) the wave function is similar, excepted that it blows up on the symmetry walls, but not too drastically, remaining square integrable. At level \( N_F = 2 \) we obtain again such solutions but also structurally different ones, depending on arbitrary functions that define initial data. At level \( N_F = 3 \) also the solutions depend on arbitrary functions (and one constant). The discrete solutions at level higher that \( N_F = 3 \) appears not to be physically acceptable: they grow behind the gravitational walls, a classically forbidden region. In the limit of small wavelength, the wave function bounces on the various walls. The associated reflection operators define a spinorial extension of the Weyl group of the \( AE_3 \) Kac-Moody algebra. Finally, far from the wall, the Wheeler DeWitt equation involves, contrary to the classical picture described by a massless bouncing particle, a squared-mass term that, for 44 among the 64 components of the wave function is negative, corresponding to a tachyonic motion that permits to the wave-packet to bounce back in \( \beta^0 \)-time and so to avoid the cosmological singularity located at \( \beta^0 = \infty \). This results from a specific quantum effect due to the term quartic in the fermion that we have rigorously taken into account during all our analysis.
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