Global uniqueness of small representations

Toshiyuki KOBAYASHI and Gordan SAVIN

GLOBAL UNIQUENESS OF SMALL REPRESENTATIONS

TOSHIYUKI KOBAYASHI AND GORDAN SAVIN

ABSTRACT. We prove that automorphic representations whose local components are certain small representations have multiplicity one. The proof is based on the multiplicity-one theorem for certain functionals of small representations, also proved in this paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a field of characteristic 0. Let G be the group of k-points of a simply connected, absolutely simple algebraic group defined over k, with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $P = MN$ be a maximal parabolic subgroup with abelian unipotent radical N such that P is conjugate to the opposite parabolic subgroup $\bar{P} = M\bar{N}$ by an element in G. In this case N and $\bar{N}$ admit a structure of simple Jordan algebra J. The Jordan algebra structure sheds light on the structure of M-orbits on $\bar{N}$. More precisely, we have a decomposition

$$\bar{N} = \coprod_{j=0}^{r} \Omega_j$$

where Ω_j is the set of elements of "rank j" and r the degree of J. A precise definition is given in Section 4, but the reader is probably familiar with the following example. If $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(k)$ and P is the Siegel maximal parabolic subgroup, then $\bar{N}$ can be identified with the space of $r \times r$ symmetric matrices, and Ω_j consist of all symmetric matrices of rank j. Over an algebraically closed field, M acts transitively on every Ω_j. Over a general field k, however, the structure of M-orbits may be complicated.

If k is a local field, then $\bar{N}$ can be identified with the Pontrjagin dual of N. In particular, any $x \in \bar{N}$ corresponds to a unitary character $\psi_x : N \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let $\omega \subseteq \Omega_j$ be an M-orbit where $j < r$. We have an irreducible representation π of P on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\omega)$, defined with respect to a quasi M-invariant measure on ω. The action of M on $L^2(\omega)$ arises from the geometric action of M on ω, while $n \in N$ acts on $f \in L^2(\omega)$ by

$$\pi(n)f(y) = \psi_y(n)f(y).$$

The small representations in the title of this work are unitary representations of G whose restriction to P is isomorphic to $(\pi, L^2(\omega))$ for some ω. If $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2r}(k)$, then small representations appear naturally in the stable range of theta correspondences, see [Ho]. For more general G, we have works of [Sa], [HKM], [KM], for real groups, and works of [To] and [We] for p-adic groups.

Let $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ be the space of G-smooth vectors in $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\omega)$. Since M acts transitively on ω, elements in $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ are represented by smooth functions on ω. In particular, we can evaluate $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ at any point $x \in \omega$. The functional

$$\delta_x : \mathcal{H}^\infty \to \mathbb{C}, \quad f \mapsto f(x)$$

is continuous on $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ and (N, ψ_x)-equivariant $i.e.$ for all $n \in N$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$

$$\delta_x(\pi(n)f) = \psi_x(n)\delta_x(f).$$

One may ask if any continuous and (N, ψ_x)-equivariant functional ℓ is a multiple of δ_x. We show that this is indeed the case (Propositions 7.2 and 8.3), under a natural assumption that $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ by regular differential operators on ω if k is archimedean.

We now explain the key points of the proof. It is not too difficult to see that $\ell(f) = 0$ for any function f vanishing in a neighborhood of x. If k is a p-adic field and $f_1(x) = f_2(x)$, for a pair of smooth functions, then the difference $f_1 - f_2$ vanishes in a neighborhood of x. Hence $\ell(f_1) = \ell(f_2)$ and this implies that ℓ is a multiple of δ_x. However, if $k = \mathbb{R}$, then $f_1(x) = f_2(x)$, for a pair of smooth functions, does not imply that $f_1 - f_2$ vanishes in a neighborhood of x. Moreover, a priori, it is not clear that $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ contains a single non-zero function vanishing in a neighborhood of x. For example, K-finite elements in $\mathcal{H}$ are represented by analytic functions on ω. In order to prove that ℓ is a multiple of δ_x we first prove that $C_c^\infty(\omega)$, the space of smooth compactly supported functions on ω, is contained in $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ and then we reduce the problem to some standard results in the theory of distributions. A key in proving that $C_c^\infty(\omega) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\infty$ is the following analogue of the Sobolev lemma, a general result of independent interest. Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be any unitary representation of G. Let $v \in \mathcal{H}$. It defines a continuous functional on $\mathcal{H}^\infty$, by the inner product on $\mathcal{H}$. The enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ and hence we have a weak $d\pi^{-\infty}$ action of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ on v. If, for all $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, $d\pi^{-\infty}(u)v$ is in $\mathcal{H}$, then v is G-smooth. We remind the reader that the classical Sobolev lemma states that if all weak derivatives ($i.e.$ derivatives in the sense of distributions) of $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ are contained in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then f is a smooth function. The analogy is obvious.

Next, following Howe [Ho], we define a notion of N-rank for smooth representations of G. A smooth representation π has N-rank j if there exists a non-zero, continuous, (N, ψ_y)-equivariant functional on π for some $y \in \Omega_j$, but there is no such functional for y in larger orbits. In particular, the previous discussion can be summarized as follows. A small representation has the N-rank j where j is the integer such that $\omega \subseteq \Omega_j$ and, for every $y \in \omega$, any (N, ψ_y)-equivariant functional is a multiple of δ_y. Using this information we show that automorphic representations whose local components are small representations have multiplicity one. More precisely, assume that k is an algebraic number field. Let $\mathbb{A}$ be the ring of adelés over k. The following is the main result of this paper. It is a combination of Theorems 9.4 and 10.1.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\pi = \hat{\otimes}_v \pi_v$ be an automorphic representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that π_v is a small representation for every place v. Then the N-rank of π_v is independent of v and π has multiplicity one in the automorphic spectrum.*

The paper is organized as follows. Sections 2 and 3 contain a precise description of groups considered in this paper. Starting with a split group G, we define a structure of simple

Jordan algebra J on N and $\bar{N}$. We show that there is a natural inclusion of groups

$$\mathrm{Aut}(J) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(G) = \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Thus, any class c in $H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(J))$ defines a form J^c of J and a form G^c of G, containing a maximal parabolic subgroup P^c whose nilpotent radical N^c has a structure of the Jordan algebra J^c. This is the Kantor–Koecher–Tits construction [Ja], page 324, from the Galois cohomology point of view. In Section 4 we discuss the Hasse principle for M-orbits on N. Section 6 contains the analogue of the Sobolev lemma, described above. Sections 7 and 8 contain proofs of the uniqueness of (N, ψ_x)-equivariant functionals for $x \in \omega$ in the p-adic and real cases, respectively. In Section 9 we define the notion of N-rank for representations of G and prove that the local components of an automorphic representation have the same N-rank. In Section 10 we prove the global multiplicity one statement. In particular, we prove that the minimal representations appear in the automorphic spectrum with multiplicity one (Corollary 10.2).

2. Jordan algebras

Let G be as in the introduction. The main purpose of this section is to explain the Jordan structure on N and $\bar{N}$. We shall do this first for split groups. A more general case will be treated in the next section using Galois descent.

So we assume that G is split throughout this section. Fix $\mathfrak{t} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, a maximal split Cartan subalgebra. Let Φ be the root system for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$ and, for every $\alpha \in \Phi$, let $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ be the corresponding root space. Fix $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l\}$, a set of simple roots. Now every root can be written as a sum $\alpha = \sum_{i=0}^{l} m_i(\alpha)\alpha_i$ for some integers $m_i(\alpha)$. Every simple root α_j defines a maximal parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p} \equiv \mathfrak{p}_j = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}$ where

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \Big(\bigoplus_{m_j(\alpha)=0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \Big),$$

$$\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{m_j(\alpha)>0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Note that $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{der}} = [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$ is a semi-simple Lie algebra which corresponds to the Dynkin diagram of $\Delta \setminus \{\alpha_j\}$. Let β be the highest root. The algebra $\mathfrak{n}$ is commutative if and only if $m_j(\beta) = 1$. Here is the list of all possible pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{m})$ with $\mathfrak{n}$ commutative and $\mathfrak{p}$ conjugate to the opposite parabolic by an element in G.

$\mathfrak{g}$	C_n	A_{2n-1}	D_{2n}	E_7	B_{n+1}	D_{n+1}
$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{der}}$	A_{n-1}	$A_{n-1} \times A_{n-1}$	A_{2n-1}	E_6	B_n	D_n
$\dim \mathfrak{n}$	$n(n+1)/2$	n^2	$n(2n-1)$	27	$2n+1$	$2n$
r	n	n	n	3	2	2
d	1	2	4	8	$2n-1$	$2n-2$

The meaning of the integer d will be explained later. The integer r is the cardinality of any maximal set $S = \{\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_r\}$ of strongly orthogonal roots spanning $\mathfrak{n}$. (A root α is said to span $\mathfrak{n}$ if $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$.) A set S can be constructed inductively as follows: β_1 is the highest root, β_2 is the highest root amongst the roots spanning $\mathfrak{n}$ and orthogonal to β_1, etc. For

every $\beta_i \in S$ take an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple (f_i, h_i, e_i) where $e_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_i}$ and $f_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\beta_i}$. We normalize the Killing form $\kappa(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ by

$$\kappa(f_i, e_i) = 1$$

for all i. Each triple (f_i, h_i, e_i) lifts to a homomorphism of algebraic groups

$$\varphi_i : \mathrm{SL}_2 \to G.$$

By restricting φ_i to the torus of diagonal matrices in SL_2 we obtain a homomorphism (a co-character) $\chi_i^\vee : \mathbb{G}_m \to M$,

$$(1) \qquad\qquad\qquad \chi_i^\vee(t) = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $T_r \subseteq M$ be the torus generated by all $\chi_i^\vee(t)$. Any element in $T_r(k)$ is uniquely written as a product of $\chi_i^\vee(t_i)$ for some $t_i \in k^\times$. Let χ be a generator of the group of characters $\mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{G}_m) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The kernel of χ is M_{der}, the derived group of M. From the root data it is easy to check that (for one of the two choices of χ)

$$(2) \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \chi(\chi_i^\vee(t)) = t.$$

Let

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i, \ h = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i \text{ and } e = \sum_{i=1}^r e_i.$$

Since the roots β_i are strongly orthogonal, (f, h, e) is also an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple. The semi-simple element h preserves the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bar{\mathfrak{n}} \oplus \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{n}.$$

More precisely, $[h, x] = -2x$ for all $x \in \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$, $[h, x] = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{m}$, and $[h, x] = 2x$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{n}$. The triple (f, h, e) lifts to a homomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathrm{SL}_2 \to G.$$

The element

$$w = \varphi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

normalizes M and conjugates $\mathfrak{n}$ into $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$, and vice versa. Explicitly, the action of w on $x \in \mathfrak{n}$ is given by

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{2}[f, [f, x]].$$

2.1. **Jordan algebras.** Using the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple (f, h, e) we can define a Jordan algebra structure on $J = \mathfrak{n}$ with multiplication $\circ$

$$(3) \qquad x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}[x, [f, y]].$$

Note that e is the identity element. Similarly, we can define a Jordan algebra structure on $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ with the multiplication $\circ$

$$x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}[x, [-e, y]].$$

In this case $-f$ is the identity element. These two structures are isomorphic under the conjugation by w. We shall now discuss this structure in more details, working with $\mathfrak{n}$.

The elements e_i are mutually perpendicular ($e_i \circ e_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$) and idempotent ($e_i \circ e_i = e_i$) elements in J such that $e_1 + \cdots + e_r = e$. These idempotent elements give a Pierce decomposition of J,

$$J = \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} J_{ii} \oplus \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} J_{ij}$$

where

$$J_{ii} = \{x \in J \mid e_i \circ x = x\}$$

and

$$J_{ij} = \{x \in J \mid e_i \circ x = \frac{1}{2}x \text{ and } e_j \circ x = \frac{1}{2}x\}.$$

The space J_{ii} is one-dimensional and spanned by e_i. The space J_{ij} can also be described in terms of the original root data. It is a span of $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ such that

$$(4) \qquad \langle \alpha, \beta_i^\vee \rangle = \langle \alpha, \beta_j^\vee \rangle = 1 \text{ and } \langle \alpha, \beta_l^\vee \rangle = 0 \text{ if } l \neq i, j.$$

Since the Weyl group of M can be used to reorder the elements of S in any way (see [RRS]), all J_{ij} have the same dimension d, as in the table. With respect to the conjugation action of M on N, $\chi_i^\vee(t)$ acts on J_{ii} by multiplication by t^2, on J_{ij} by multiplication by t, and trivially on all other summands in the Pierce decomposition of J.

Proposition 2.1. *Let κ be the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$, normalized so that $\kappa(f_i, e_i) = 1$ for all i. For every pair of indices $i \neq j$ let Q_{ij} be a quadratic form on J_{ij} defined by*

$$Q_{ij}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\kappa([f_i, x], [f_j, x]).$$

Then, for every $x \in J_{ij}$,

$$x \circ x = Q_{ij}(x)(e_i + e_j).$$

The quadratic form Q_{ij} is non-degenerate and split, that is, it contains a direct sum of $[d/2]$ hyperbolic planes. Let

$$\{x, y\} = [x, [f, y]] = 2(x \circ y)$$

denote the "Jordan bracket". The quadratic forms Q_{ij} satisfy a composition property: Let i, j, l be three distinct indices. For every $x \in J_{il}$ and $y \in J_{ij}$, so $\{x, y\} \in J_{jl}$,

$$Q_{jl}(\{x \circ y\}) = Q_{il}(x) \cdot Q_{ij}(y).$$

Proof. We first show that $\{x, y\}$, for $x, y \in J_{ij}$, is a multiple of $e_i + e_j$. Since J_{ij} is a span of $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ satisfying (4), $[f_l, y] = 0$ for all $l \neq i, j$. Hence

$$\{x, y\} = [x, [f, y]] = [x, [f_i, y]] + [x, [f_j, y]].$$

Exploiting (4) again, $[x, [f_i, y]]$ is contained in a sum of $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ such that $\langle \alpha, \beta_j^\vee \rangle = 2$. But this equation holds only for $\alpha = \beta_j$. Hence $[x, [f_i, y]]$ is a multiple of e_j, while $[x, [f_j, y]]$ is a multiple of e_i. In order to determine the coefficient in front of e_j we take the inner product of $[x, [f_i, y]]$ and f_j, with respect to the Killing form. By the invariance of the Killing form, we have

$$\kappa(f_j, [x, [f_i, y]]) = \kappa([f_i, x], [f_j, y]]) = \kappa(f_i, [x, [f_j, y]]).$$

This proves that $x \circ x = Q_{ij}(x)(e_i + e_j)$, as claimed. We go on to describe the structure of the quadratic form Q_{ij}. On the set of roots α spanning J_{ij} we have an involution

$$\alpha \mapsto \alpha^* = \beta_i + \beta_j - \alpha.$$

If α is fixed by the involution then $2\alpha = \beta_i + \beta_j$. This is only possible in the cases C_n and B_{n+1}. In both cases there is only one fixed root, a short root. The complement of this line (if there is such a line) is a sum of hyperbolic planes, $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha^*}$ for $\alpha \neq \alpha^*$. This completes the proof of the first part of the proposition. The second part, the composition property of quadratic forms Q_{ij}, follows from a beautiful but long computation that we omit. $\square$

In order to describe the algebra J we need to review some facts from the theory of Jordan algebras. A Jordan algebra J has degree r if any element x in J satisfies a generic minimal polynomial

$$x^r - a_{r-1}x^{r-1} + \cdots + (-1)^r a_0 = 0$$

where $a_i \in k$ depend algebraically on x. The coefficients a_{r-1} and a_0 are the trace T_J and the norm N_J of x.

Let D be a composition algebra over k. It is a unital, not necessarily associative, algebra with a non-degenerate quadratic form N_D (the norm) such that $N_D(uv) = N_D(u)N_D(v)$. The possible dimension of D are $1, 2, 4$ or 8. There is a linear map $u \mapsto \bar{u}$ on D such that $\overline{uv} = \bar{v}\bar{u}$ and $N_D(u) = u\bar{u}$, for all $u, v \in D$. Let $T_D(u) = u + \bar{u}$. It is a linear functional, called the trace. We shall consider the following three families of Jordan algebras in this paper.

Special Jordan algebras. Assume that D is associative, *i.e.* $\dim D \neq 8$. Let $H_r(D)$ be the set of hermitian-symmetric $r \times r$ matrices x with entries in D, *i.e.* any element in $H_r(D)$ is equal to its transpose-conjugate, where by conjugation we mean applying the map $u \mapsto \bar{u}$ to all entries. If $\dim D \neq 8$, then $H_r(D)$ is a Jordan algebra with respect to the operation

$$x \circ y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$$

where xy and yx are the usual multiplication of $r \times r$ matrices. The norm N_J is the reduced determinant.

Exceptional Jordan algebras ($r = 3$). Assume that $\dim D = 8$. Then $H_3(D)$ is a Jordan algebra only for $r = 3$. The norm N_J of

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} a & u & \bar{w} \\ \bar{u} & b & v \\ w & \bar{v} & c \end{pmatrix}$$

is

$$N_J(x) = abc - aN_D(v) - bN_D(w) - cN_D(u) + T_D(vwu).$$

Quadratic Jordan algebras ($r = 2$). Let (V, Q) be a non-degenerate quadratic space ever k, where V is a vector space and Q is a non-degenerate quadratic form on V. Let

$$J_2(V) = J_2(V, Q) = ke_1 \oplus ke_2 \oplus V.$$

In particular, an element in $J_2(V)$ is a triple (a, b, v) where $a, b \in k$ and $v \in V$. The Jordan square in $J_2(V)$ is defined by

$$(a, b, v) \circ (a, b, v) = (a^2 + Q(v), b^2 + Q(v), av + bv).$$

Then e_1 and e_2 are orthogonal idempotents such that $e = e_1 + e_2$ is the identity in $J_2(V)$. The norm N_J is

$$N_J(a, b, v) = ab + Q(v).$$

Proposition 2.2. *If the type of G is A_{2n-1}, D_{2n} or E_7, and $r \geq 3$, then J is isomorphic to $H_r(D)$ where D is a split composition algebra of dimension $d = 2, 4$ or 8, respectively. If the type of G is D_{n+1} or B_{n+1}, the cases when $r = 2$, then J is isomorphic to $J_2(V)$ where $V = J_{12}$ with the quadratic form Q_{12}.*

Proof. If the type of G is A_{2n-1}, D_{2n} or E_7, then the forms Q_{ij} are isotropic. In particular, for every $i = 2, \cdots, r$, there exists $u_{1i} \in J_{1i}$ such that $Q_{1i}(u_{1i}) = 1$. Let $u_{ij} = \{u_{1i}, u_{1j}\}$. Then, by Proposition 2.1, $Q_{ij}(u_{ij}) = 1$. Hence $u_{ij} \circ u_{ij} = e_i + e_j$ for all pairs $i \neq j$. We define a product $\cdot$ on J_{12} by

$$x \cdot y = \{\{x, u_{23}\}, \{y, u_{13}\}\}.$$

The composition property of quadratic forms Q_{ij}, as in Proposition 2.1, implies that

$$Q_{12}(x \cdot y) = Q_{12}(x)Q_{12}(y)$$

making J_{12} a composition algebra D, with the identity $1_D = u_{12}$. Let E_{ij} denote the elementary $r \times r$ matrix, all entries 0 except (i, j) where the entry is 1. By Jacobson's coordinatization theorem [MC, page 101], there is an isomorphism $J \xrightarrow{\sim} H_r(D)$ defined by

$$e_i \mapsto E_{ii}, \ u_{ij} \mapsto E_{ij} + E_{ji}, \ \text{and} \ v \mapsto vE_{12} + \bar{v}E_{21}, \ v \in D.$$

In the last two cases, D_{n+1} and B_{n+1}, the algebra J is obviously isomorphic to $J_2(J_{12})$. $\square$

The conditions of Jacobson's coordinatization theorem can be always satisfied by picking f_i, $i = 2, \ldots, r$, suitably. Indeed, rescaling f_i amounts to rescaling Q_{1i}. In particular, we can easily arrange that all Q_{1i} represent 1. For example, if $G = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(k)$, then we can arrange $J \cong H_n(k)$. We fix, henceforth, the identification of J with $H_r(D)$ or $J_2(V)$.

3. Kantor–Koecher–Tits construction

We continue with the assumptions and notations from the previous section. In particular, $\mathfrak{g}$ is split. Recall that we have an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$, preserving the Jordan algebra structure J, given by

$$\mathfrak{n} \to \bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \qquad x \mapsto w(x) = \frac{1}{2}[f, [f, x]].$$

Let C be the centralizer of the triple (f, h, e) in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$. Note that C acts naturally on both $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$, preserving the Jordan algebra structure J. In this way we have a natural homomorphism

$$\iota : C \to \mathrm{Aut}(J).$$

Proposition 3.1. *The map ι is an isomorphism of the centralizer C in $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple (f, h, e) and $\mathrm{Aut}(J)$, the automorphism group of J.*

Proof. The proof is based on the following two lemmas.

Lemma 3.2. *We have $[\mathfrak{n}, \bar{\mathfrak{n}}] = \mathfrak{m}$.*

Proof. Since $h = [e, f]$ and h spans a complement of $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{der}}$ in $\mathfrak{m}$, it remains to show that $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{der}} \subseteq [\mathfrak{n}, \bar{\mathfrak{n}}]$. The algebra $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathrm{der}}$ is spanned by the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triples $(f_\alpha, h_\alpha, e_\alpha)$, where α is a root in $\mathfrak{m}$. Now observe that any root α in $\mathfrak{m}$ is a sum of a root γ in $\mathfrak{n}$ and a root $\bar{\gamma}$ in $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$. Hence e_α and f_α, non-zero multiples of $[e_\gamma, e_{\bar{\gamma}}]$ and $[f_\gamma, f_{\bar{\gamma}}]$ respectively, are contained in $[\mathfrak{n}, \bar{\mathfrak{n}}]$. Since h_α is a linear combination of h_γ and $h_{\bar{\gamma}}$, it is also contained in $[\mathfrak{n}, \bar{\mathfrak{n}}]$. $\square$

If $c \in C$ is in the kernel of ι then c acts trivially on $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$. Since c is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, it also acts trivially on $[\mathfrak{n}, \bar{\mathfrak{n}}] = \mathfrak{m}$. Hence $c = 1$ and ι is injective. We now go on to prove surjectivity of ι. Let $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(J)$. It acts naturally on $\mathfrak{n}$ and on $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$. The two actions are related by the isomorphism w, that is, $g(w(x)) = w(gx)$ for every $x \in \mathfrak{n}$. We shall see that this action extends, uniquely, to an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ fixing the triple (f, h, e). Uniqueness is clear. Indeed, by Lemma 3.2, any element in $\mathfrak{m}$ is a equal to a sum $\sum[x, w(y)]$, where $x, y \in \mathfrak{n}$, hence g must act on it by

$$(5) \qquad\qquad g([x, w(y)]) = \sum[gx, w(gy)]$$

in order to preserve the Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$. However, it is not clear that this defines an action of g on $\mathfrak{m}$ since an element in $\mathfrak{m}$ can be written as a sum of the brackets in more than one way. To address this issue we need the following beautiful lemma that expresses the Lie bracket $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}]$ in terms of the Jordan algebra structure.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{n}$. Then*

$$[[x, w(y)], z] = 2((x \circ z) \circ y - (z \circ y) \circ x - (x \circ y) \circ z)$$

where the left-hand side is computed using the Lie bracket, while the right-hand side is computed using the Jordan multiplication $\circ$ on $\mathfrak{n}$.

Proof. This follows by substituting $w(y) = \frac{1}{2}[f, [f, y]]$, using the Jacobi identity, and the definition of the Jordan multiplication $\circ$ on $\mathfrak{n}$. $\square$

If $\sum[x, w(y)] = \sum[u, w(v)] \in \mathfrak{m}$ then

$$\sum[[x, w(y)], z] = \sum[[u, w(v)], z] \in \mathfrak{n}$$

for all $z \in \mathfrak{n}$. Acting by g on both sides of this equation, applying the second lemma, and using that g is an automorphism of J, we have

$$\sum[[gx, w(gy)], gz] = \sum[[gu, w(gv)], gz]$$

for all $z \in \mathfrak{n}$. Since $\mathfrak{m}$ acts faithfully on $\mathfrak{n}$, it follows that $\sum[gx, w(gy)] = \sum[gu, w(gv)]$. Hence the action of g on $\mathfrak{m}$ given by the equation (5) is well-defined.

Lemma 3.3 (and an analogue of this lemma for the bracket $[\mathfrak{m}, \bar{\mathfrak{n}}]$) imply that g, acting on $\mathfrak{g}$, preserves the Lie bracket. Since g fixes e and f, it fixes $h = [e, f]$. Thus g is in C. This proves that ι is surjective. $\square$

Thus we have a natural map

$$H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(J)) \to H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})).$$

In particular, a class c in $H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(J))$ gives a form J^c of J, a form $\mathfrak{g}^c$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, and a form G^c of G. Since c fixes the triple (f, h, e), the triple is contained in $\mathfrak{g}^c$ and w in G^c. The adjoint action of h on $\mathfrak{g}^c$ gives a decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}^c = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}^c \oplus \mathfrak{m}^c \oplus \mathfrak{n}^c$$

and $\mathfrak{n}^c$, with the multiplication given by the equation (3), is the Jordan algebra J^c. On the level of Lie algebras, this is the Kantor–Koecher–Tits construction. Moreover, the group G^c can be related to Koecher's construction [Ko]. Koecher considers the group generated by the birational transformations of J^c: translations $t_y(x) = y + x$, for every $y \in J^c$, and $j(x) = -x^{-1}$. Note that $N^c w P^c / P^c$ is an open set in the Grassmannian G^c / P^c. The natural action of G^c on G^c / P^c by left translations gives a group of birational transformations of N^c where the action of $y \in N^c$ on N^c is by t_y, while the action of w on N^c is by j. In particular, the group defined by Koecher is the adjoint quotient of G^c.

3.1. **Our groups.** In this paper we shall consider the groups G^c where the cocycle c arises as follows: If $J = H_r(D)$ then there is a natural map $\mathrm{Aut}(D) \to \mathrm{Aut}(J)$. If $J = J_2(V)$ then there is a natural map $\mathrm{Aut}(V) \to \mathrm{Aut}(J)$, where $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ is the group of automorphisms of the quadratic space (V, Q). We shall assume that c lies in the image of $H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(D))$ or $H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}(V))$, respectively. In particular the resulting Jordan algebra J^c is isomorphic to $H_r(D^c)$ or $J_2(V^c)$, respectively. All triples (f_i, h_i, e_i), $i = 1, \ldots, r$, are contained in $\mathfrak{g}^c$, and the torus T_r is contained in G^c. The restricted root system with respect to T_r is of the type C_r.

4. Hasse principle

Let G be constructed by means of a Jordan algebra $J = H_r(D)$ or $J_2(V)$, as in Section 3.1. Thus, D is any composition algebra and V any non-degenerate quadratic space over k. In particular, we have a maximal parabolic subgroup $P = MN$ such that N has a structure of the Jordan algebra J. To be precise, $\mathfrak{n}$ carries a Jordan algebra structure, however, $\mathfrak{n}$ is

canonically isomorphic to N, hence N carries the same Jordan algebra structure. Also, by an abuse of notation, we shall view $e_i \in \mathfrak{n}$ as elements of N. A purpose of this section is to prove a Hasse principle for M-orbits on N. As N and $\bar{N}$ are conjugate by the element $w \in G$ preserving the Jordan structures and normalizing M, describing M-orbits on N is equivalent to describing M-orbits on $\bar{N}$. For notational convenience we work with N. First, we have the following (see [RRS] and [SW]):

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that G is split. If the type of G is C_n, in addition, assume that k is algebraically closed. Then every M_{der}-orbit on N contains precisely one of the following: $e_1 + \cdots + e_j$, for some $j < r$, or $e_1 + \cdots + e_{r-1} + ae_r$, for some $a \in k^{\times}$.*

In general, when G is not necessarily split but $J = H_r(D)$ or $J_2(V)$, then we have a decomposition

$$N = \coprod_{j=0}^{r} \Omega_j$$

where, for $j < r$, Ω_j is the set of elements in N in the orbit of $e_1 + \cdots + e_j$ over the algebraic closure. Informally speaking, Ω_j consist of elements of rank j. For example, if $J = H_r(D)$ where D is an associative division algebra, then Ω_j consists of all matrices of rank j.

In general, Ω_j consists of possibly infinitely many M-orbits. We shall now work towards a description of M-orbits. The adjoint action of the torus T_r on $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ gives rise to (restricted) root systems of type C_r and A_{r-1}, respectively. Let $\{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid 1 \le i \ne j \le r\}$ be the standard realization of the root system A_{r-1}. Then, for every root $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$ there is a unipotent group

$$X_{ij} \subset M_{\mathrm{der}}$$

isomorphic to D, if $J = H_r(D)$, or to V, if $J = J_2(V)$. We shall describe X_{ij} on a case by case basis.

$\underline{J = H_r(D) \text{ and } \dim D \ne 8}$. In this case $M_{\mathrm{der}} = \mathrm{SL}_r(D)$. Let $u \in D$. Let $x_{ij}(u)$ be an $r \times r$ matrix with 1 on the diagonal, u as (i,j)-entry and 0 elsewhere. Then X_{ij} is the set of all $x_{ij}(u)$. Note that $x_{ij}(u)$ acts on $x \in H_r(D)$ by

$$x_{ij}(u) x x_{ji}(\bar{u}).$$

$\underline{J = H_3(D) \text{ and } \dim D = 8}$. In this case M_{der} is the group of linear transformations of J preserving the norm N_J. Let $u \in D$. Let $x_{ij}(u)$ be a 3×3 matrix with 1 on the diagonal, u as (i,j)-entry and 0 elsewhere. Although D is not associative, it is still true that

$$(x_{ij}(u)x)x_{ji}(\bar{u}) = x_{ij}(u)(x x_{ji}(\bar{u})),$$

for every $x \in H_3(D)$. The group X_{ij} is the set of linear transformations of $H_3(D)$ defined by

$$x \mapsto x_{ij}(u) x x_{ji}(\bar{u}).$$

$\underline{J = J_2(V, Q)}$. In this case $M_{\mathrm{der}} = \mathrm{Spin}(J)$ where J is considered a quadratic space with respect to the norm N_J. Let $B(u, v)$ be the symmetric bilinear form such that $B(v, v) = 2Q(v)$. The group X_{ij} consists of elements $x_{ij}(u)$, $u \in V$, acting on J by

$$x_{12}(u)(a, b, v) = (a, b + aQ(u) + B(u, v), v + au)$$

and
$$x_{21}(u)(a, b, v) = (a + bQ(u) + B(u, v), b, v + bu).$$

Now, using the action of X_{ij}, it is a simple exercise to check that any M_{der}-orbit in J contains
$$x = a_1 e_1 + \cdots + a_r e_r$$
for some $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in k$. If $a_r = 0$ then $\chi_r^\vee(t)$, defined by the equation (1), stabilize x. Since $\chi(\chi_r^\vee(t)) = t$, where χ is the generator of $\mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{G}_m)$, it readily follows that the M_{der}-orbit of x coincides with the M-orbit of x. Hence, M-orbits and M_{der}-orbits in Ω_j coincide for all $j < r$. This observation will prove useful in the proof of the following Hasse principle.

Theorem 4.2. *Let k be a number field. Let $x, y \in \Omega_j(k)$ where $j < r$. If x, y belong to the same $M(k_v)$-orbit for all places v of k, then x, y belong to the same $M(k)$-orbit.*

Proof. We shall prove this statement for $M_{\mathrm{der}}(k)$. If G is split but not of the type C_n, then there is nothing to prove, in view of Proposition 4.1. Now assume that $J = H_r(D)$ where D is an associative division algebra over k. In this case $M_{\mathrm{der}}(k) = \mathrm{SL}_r(D)$ and x, y can be viewed as hermitian forms on D^r. If two k-rational hermitian forms are equivalent over k_v, for all places v, then they are equivalent over k. This is the classical weak local to global principle, see Chapter 10 in [Sch]. Of course, the equivalence refers to the action of $\mathrm{GL}_r(D)$, however, for degenerate forms $\mathrm{GL}_r(D)$-equivalence is the same as $\mathrm{SL}_r(D)$-equivalence. Hence the Hasse principle holds in this case.

We shall study the remaining cases using Galois cohomology. Let C be the stabilizer of e_1 in M_{der}, in the sense of algebraic groups. Then $M_{\mathrm{der}}(k)$-orbits in $\Omega_1(k)$ correspond to the elements in the kernel of the morphism
$$H^1(k, C) \to H^1(k, M_{\mathrm{der}})$$
of pointed sets. Recall that N is an irreducible representation of M_{der} and e_1 is the highest weight vector of weight β. Hence the stabilizer in M_{der} of the line through e_1 is a parabolic subgroup LU such that the simple roots of the Levi factor L are the simple roots of M_{der} perpendicular to β. If the type of G is not C_n or A_{2n-1} then β is a fundamental weight for M_{der}. Thus, in these cases, the stabilizer C of e_1 is $L_{\mathrm{der}}U$. Since $H^1(k, L_{\mathrm{der}}U) = H^1(k, L_{\mathrm{der}})$ (the Galois cohomology of the unipotent group U is trivial) $M_{\mathrm{der}}(k)$-orbits in $\Omega_1(k)$ correspond to the elements in the kernel of the morphism
$$H^1(k, L_{\mathrm{der}}) \to H^1(k, M_{\mathrm{der}})$$
of pointed sets. Let S_∞ be the set of archimedean places for k. Since L_{der} and M_{der} are simply connected, the natural maps
$$H^1(k, L_{\mathrm{der}}) \to \prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^1(k_v, L_{\mathrm{der}})$$
and
$$H^1(k, M_{\mathrm{der}}) \to \prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^1(k_v, M_{\mathrm{der}})$$
are bijections. Thus, if G is not C_n or A_{2n-1}, the Hasse principle holds for Ω_1. In fact, we have the following, more precise, information.

- $M_{\mathrm{der}}(k_v)$ acts transitively on $\Omega_1(k_v)$ if v is a p-adic place.
- the number of $M_{\mathrm{der}}(k)$-orbits in $\Omega_1(k)$ is equal to the product of the number of $M_{\mathrm{der}}(k_v)$-orbits in $\Omega_1(k_v)$ over all archimedean places v.

Finally, the case of Ω_2 for $H_3(D)$, where $\dim D = 8$. The stabilizer in M_{der} of $e_1 + e_2$ is a connected group whose Levi factor is a simple, simply-connected group of type B_4, see [CC]. Hence the Hasse principle applies in this case, as well. $\qquad\square$

Corollary 4.3. *Assume that k is a p-adic field. Then $M(k)$ acts transitively on $\Omega_1(k)$ unless G has type C_n or A_{2n-1}. In these two cases, when $J = H_n(D)$ and $\dim D = 1$ or 2, then the orbits are parameterized by $k^\times/N_D(D^\times)$.*

Proof. Indeed, by the first bullet above, there is one orbit unless G has type C_n or A_{2n-1}. In these two cases, by looking at the explicit action of $\mathrm{SL}_n(D)$ on Ω_1, $t \cdot e_1$ and $u \cdot e_1$ are in the same orbit if and only if $t/u \in N_D(D^\times)$. $\qquad\square$

5. SOME PRELIMINARIES

Let H be an algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{R}$. We shall write H in place of $H(\mathbb{R})$. We assume that H is unimodular and fix an invariant Haar measure throughout this section. Take a faithful algebraic representation $\rho : H \to \mathrm{SL}_d(\mathbb{R})$. Then any $g \in H$ is represented by a $d \times d$-matrix (x_{ij}). We set

$$||g|| := \sum_{ij} |x_{ij}|^2.$$

A complex function f on H is called of *moderate growth* if there exists an integer a such that $|f(g)| \cdot ||g||^a$ is a bounded function on H. On the other hand, a complex function f on H is called *rapidly decreasing* if, for every integer a, $|f(g)| \cdot ||g||^a$ is a bounded function on H.

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Lie algebra of H. Every element u in $U(\mathfrak{h})$, the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{h}$, defines a left H-invariant differential operator acting on smooth functions. Let $u \cdot f$ denote this action, where f is a smooth function on H. The Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(H)$ is the space of smooth functions f on H such that $u \cdot f$ is rapidly decreasing for all $u \in U(\mathfrak{h})$.

5.1. **Fréchet spaces.** A Fréchet vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ is a complete locally convex vector space V equipped with a countable family of semi-norms $|\cdot|_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The space V is metrizable, namely, it is homeomorphic to a complete metric space, *e.g.* with respect to the metric defined by

$$d(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \cdot \frac{|x-y|_i}{1 + |x-y|_i}.$$

Now it is not to difficult to see that a sequence (x_i) in V is Cauchy if and only it is so for every semi-norm.

For a representation π of H on a Fréchet space, we shall always assume the following. For every $v \in V$ the map $G \to V$, $g \mapsto \pi(g)v$ is continuous. For every $v \in V$ and any semi-norm $|\cdot|_i$ the function $g \mapsto |\pi(g)v|_i$ is of moderate growth.

A prominent example arises as follows. Let π be a unitary representation of H on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, with the invariant product $(\cdot,\cdot)_{\mathcal{H}}$, and the corresponding norm $||\cdot||$. Let $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ be the space of all smooth vectors in $\mathcal{H}$. A vector v of V is smooth if the map $G \to V$, $g \mapsto \pi(g)v$

is smooth, or equivalently, for every $w \in \mathcal{H}$, $g \mapsto (\pi(g)v, w)_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a smooth function. Then $\mathcal{H}^{\infty}$ is a Fréchet space with respect to a family of the semi-norms

$$|v|_u = ||d\pi(u)v||$$

for every $u \in U(\mathfrak{h})$, the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{h}$.

5.2. **Integration.** Let π be a representation of H on a Fréchet space V. Then for every continuous, rapidly decreasing function α on H we define an operator

$$\pi(\alpha) : V \to V$$

by

$$\pi(\alpha)v = \int_H \alpha(x)\pi(x)v \ dx.$$

For our working purposes, $\pi(\alpha)v$ can be defined as the limit, in V, of a sequence of finite sums, as follows. For every $a \in \mathbb{N}$, one can take a sequence of finite sets $X_a \subset H$, and for every $x \in X_a$ a measurable set S_x^a containing x such that $\|g_1^{-1}g_2\| \le 2^{-a}$ for any g_1, $g_2 \in S_x^a$ and such that for every continuous, rapidly decreasing function α the sequence

$$\sum_{x \in X_a} \mu_x \alpha(x)$$

converges to the integral $\int_H \alpha(x)dx$ where $\mu_x \equiv \mu_x^a = \int_{S_x^a} dx \ (< \infty)$. Then, for every $v \in V$, $\pi(\alpha)v$ is defined as the limit of the sequence

$$v_a = \sum_{x \in X_a} \mu_x \alpha(x)\pi(x)v.$$

For the sake of completeness, we make this precise in the case $H = \mathbb{R}$, essentially the only case that we shall use in this paper. For every $a \in \mathbb{N}$, we take $x_i = 2^{-a}i - 2^{a-1}$ ($0 \le i \le 4^a$) and divide the interval $[-2^{a-1}, 2^{a-1}]$ into subintervals $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ of lengths $1/2^a$. Let $X_a = \{x_1, \ldots x_{4^a}\}$ and $S_{x_i}^a = [x_i, x_{i+1}]$.

Lemma 5.1. *For every $v \in V$, the sequence*

$$v_a = \frac{1}{2^a} \sum_{i=1}^{4^a} \alpha(x_i)\pi(x_i)v, \ a \in \mathbb{N},$$

is Cauchy with respect to any semi-norm $|\cdot|$ defining the topology of V.

Proof. Let $A > 0$ and, for every a, write $v_a = v_a^{<A} + v_a^{\ge A}$ where $v_a^{<A}$ is the sum over x_i such that $\|x_i\| < A$. Since $\alpha(x)$ is rapidly decreasing and $|\pi(x)v|$ is of moderate growth, $|\alpha(x)\pi(x)v|$ is rapidly decreasing. Therefore, given $\epsilon > 0$, one can take A large enough so that $|v_a^{\ge A}| < \epsilon/3$ for all a. Using the continuity of π, one shows that

$$|v_a^{<A} - v_b^{<A}| < \epsilon/3$$

for any a, b large enough. Thus $|v_a - v_b| < \epsilon$ for all a, b large enough. $\qquad \square$

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\chi : H \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a unitary character of H, and $\ell : V \to \mathbb{C}$ a continuous functional such that $\ell(\pi(g)v) = \chi(g)\ell(v)$ for all choices of data. Then, for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ and every $v \in V$,*

$$\ell(\pi(\alpha)v) = \ell(v)\hat{\alpha}(\chi)$$

where $\hat{\alpha}(\chi) := \int_H \alpha(x)\chi(x) \, dx$.

Proof. Write $\pi(\alpha)v$ as the limit of $v_a = \sum_{x \in X_a} \mu_x \alpha(x)\pi(x)v$ as a tends to infinity. Since ℓ is assumed to be continuous,

$$\ell(\pi(\alpha)v) = \ell(\lim_{a \to \infty} v_a) = \lim_{a \to \infty} \sum_{x \in X_a} \mu_x \alpha(x)\ell(\pi(x)v) =$$

$$= \lim_{a \to \infty} \sum_{x \in X_a} \mu_x \alpha(x)\chi(x)\ell(v) = \ell(v) \int_H \alpha(x)\chi(x) \, dx = \ell(v)\hat{\alpha}(x).$$

$\square$

5.3. *p*-**adic case.** Assume now that k is a p-adic field. In this case $\mathcal{S}(H)$ is the space of locally constant, compactly supported functions on H. If (π, V) is a smooth representation of H, then the operator $\pi(\alpha)$ is defined by

$$\pi(\alpha)v = \int_H \alpha(x)\pi(x)v \, dx$$

where, in this case, the right-hand side is a finite sum. In particular, the analogue of Proposition 5.2 trivially holds true.

5.4. **Fourier Transform.** Assume now that k is a local field. Let N be the abelian unipotent radical of a maximal parabolic subgroup of G, as in Section 3. Let ψ be a unitary, non-trivial character of k. The Killing form κ defines a pairing between N and $\bar{N}$ by

(6) $$\langle n, x \rangle = \kappa(\log n, \log x).$$

In particular, every $x \in \bar{N}$ defines a unitary character of N by

$$\psi_x(n) = \psi(\langle n, x \rangle).$$

Let $\mathcal{S}(N)$ be the space of Schwartz functions on N. In this situation, we have a Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(N) \to \mathcal{S}(\bar{N})$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha)(x) = \hat{\alpha}(x) = \int_N \alpha(n)\psi_x(n) \, dn$$

where dn is a Haar measure on N. It is well-known that the Fourier transform is a bijection between the two Schwartz spaces $\mathcal{S}(N)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\bar{N})$. We shall need this fact.

6. An analogue of the Sobolev lemma

Let π be a unitary representation of G on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ the space of smooth vectors. Let $\mathcal{H}^{-\infty}$ be the set of distribution vectors consisting of linear functional on the Fréchet space $\mathcal{H}^\infty$. We write
$$\langle \, , \, \rangle : \mathcal{H}^\infty \times \mathcal{H}^{-\infty} \to \mathbb{C}$$
for the natural bilinear map. Then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $\mathcal{H}^{-\infty}$ as a contragredient representation: for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$,
$$\langle w, d\pi^{-\infty}(X)v \rangle := -\langle d\pi(X)w, v \rangle \quad \text{for } w \in \mathcal{H}^\infty \text{ and } v \in \mathcal{H}^{-\infty}.$$
We extend $d\pi^{-\infty}$ to a $\mathbb{C}$-algebra homomorphism $U(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{H}^{-\infty})$.

Since $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space with inner product $(\, , \,)_{\mathcal{H}}$, we may regard $v \in \mathcal{H}$ as a distribution vector by
$$\langle w, v \rangle := (w, v)_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{for } w \in \mathcal{H}^\infty.$$
This yields an (anti-linear) embedding
$$\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-\infty}, \tag{7}$$
so that we have a Gelfand triple $\mathcal{H}^\infty \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}^{-\infty}$.

In general, for $v \in \mathcal{H}$ and $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, $d\pi^{-\infty}(u)v$ is defined just as a distribution vector. However, if $d\pi^{-\infty}(u)v$ belongs to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ which is identified as a subspace of $\mathcal{H}^{-\infty}$ by (7), we get a better regularity on v. Here is an analogue of the Sobolev lemma which we need:

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose $v \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfies*
$$d\pi^{-\infty}(u)v \in \mathcal{H} \qquad \text{for all } u \in U(\mathfrak{g}).$$
Then v is a smooth vector.

This proposition is a consequence of iterated applications of the following lemma:

Lemma 6.2. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. Suppose $v \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfies*
$$d\pi^{-\infty}(X)v \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{and} \quad d\pi^{-\infty}(X^2)v \in \mathcal{H}.$$
Then $\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t}(\pi(e^{tX})v - v)$ converges to $d\pi^{-\infty}(X)v$ in the topology of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Take any $w \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$, and we set
$$f(t) := (w, \pi(e^{tX})v)_{\mathcal{H}} = (\pi(e^{-tX})w, v)_{\mathcal{H}}.$$
Since w is a smooth vector, $f(t)$ is a C^∞-function on $\mathbb{R}$. By Taylor's theorem there exists $0 < \theta < 1$ such that
$$f(t) = f(0) + tf'(0) + \frac{t^2}{2}f''(\theta t),$$
where
$$
\begin{aligned}
f(0) &= (w, v)_{\mathcal{H}}, \\
f'(0) &= (-d\pi(X)w, v)_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle w, d\pi^{-\infty}(X)v \rangle, \\
f''(s) &= (d\pi(X)d\pi(X)\pi(e^{sX})w, v)_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle \pi(e^{sX})w, d\pi^{-\infty}(X^2)v \rangle.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d\pi^{-\infty}(X)v \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $f'(0) = (w, d\pi^{-\infty}(X)v)_{\mathcal{H}}$. Since $d\pi^{-\infty}(X^2)v \in \mathcal{H}$, and since π is a unitary representation, the remainder term has an upper estimate

$$|f''(s)| \leq \|w\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|d\pi^{-\infty}(X^2)v\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Thus we have

$$\left| \left(w, \frac{\pi(e^{tX})v - v}{t} - d\pi^{-\infty}(X)v\right)_{\mathcal{H}}\right| \leq \frac{|t|}{2}\|w\|_{\mathcal{H}}\|d\pi^{-\infty}(X^2)v\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}$, the above estimate holds for all $w \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence we have shown the lemma. $\qquad\square$

7. SMALL REPRESENTATIONS OF p-ADIC GROUPS

Assume that k is a p-adic field. Let G be a group defined over k, as in Section 3. In particular, we have a maximal parabolic subgroup $P = MN$ with abelian unipotent radical N. Fix a non-trivial character ψ of k. Then every $y \in \bar{N}$ defines a unitary character ψ_y of N, $\psi_y(n) = \psi(\langle n, y\rangle)$, where $\langle n, y\rangle$ is the pairing between N and $\bar{N}$ defined in (6). Fix an M-orbit $\omega \subseteq \bar{N}$. We shall consider M acting on ω from the left. Let dx be a quasi M-invariant measure on ω. Let $\nu : M \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a smooth character such that

$$d(mx) = |\nu(m)|^{-2}dx.$$

On $L^2(\omega)$ we have a unitary, irreducible, representation of P where $m \in M$ and $n \in N$ act on $f \in L^2(\omega)$ by, respectively,

$$\pi(m)f(y) = \nu(m)f(m^{-1}y)$$

and

$$\pi(n)f(y) = \psi_y(n)f(y).$$

Assume that π extends to a unitary representation of G. Let V be the space of G-smooth elements in $L^2(\omega)$. Let ℓ be a functional on V such that $\ell(\pi(n)v) = \psi_x(n)\ell(v)$ for all choices of data. The main goal of this section is to prove that $\ell = 0$ if x does not belong to the topological closure of ω and $\ell(f) = \lambda f(x)$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, if x belongs to ω, see Proposition 7.2.

Lemma 7.1. *Every M-smooth element in $L^2(\omega)$ is represented, uniquely, by a locally constant function on ω.*

Proof. Let f be an M-smooth element, *i.e.* there exists an open compact subgroup K of M fixing f, not as a function on ω, but in the L^2-sense. Write

$$\omega = \coprod_i \omega_i$$

where each ω_i is an K-orbit. It is an open compact subset of ω. In particular, the restriction of f to ω_i is well-defined. Let f_i be that restriction. Then

$$f_i \in L^2(\omega_i)^K.$$

Now recall that $\dim L^2(\omega_i)^K = 1$ by computing the trace of the projection operator, for example. Hence $L^2(\omega_i)^K$ is spanned by the characteristic function of ω_i, and f_i is represented

by a constant function on ω_i. Therefore f is represented by a locally constant function. The uniqueness is clear. $\square$

Proposition 7.2. *Let $x \in \bar{N}$. Let ℓ be a functional on V such that $\ell(\pi(n)f) = \psi_x(n)\ell(f)$ for all choices of data.*

- *If x is not in the topological closure of ω then $\ell = 0$.*
- *If $x \in \omega$, then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\ell(f) = \lambda f(x)$ for all f.*

Proof. Assume first that x is not in the topological closure of ω. Let B_x be an open neighborhood of x in $\bar{N}$ disjoint from the topological closure of ω. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(N)$ be such that $\hat{\alpha}(x) = 1$ and the support of $\hat{\alpha}$ is contained in B_x. Let $f \in V$. We shall now compute $\ell(\pi(\alpha)f)$ in two ways. The first uses the explicit definition of π,

$$\pi(\alpha)(f)(y) = \int_N \alpha(n)\pi(n)(f)(y) \, dn = \int_N \alpha(n)\psi_y(n)f(y) \, dn = \hat{\alpha}(y)f(y) = 0$$

since $\hat{\alpha}(y) = 0$ for all $y \in \omega$. Hence $\ell(\pi(\alpha)f) = 0$.

The second computation uses the formal property of ℓ, as in Proposition 5.2,

$$\ell(\pi(\alpha)f) = \ell(f) \int_N \alpha(n)\psi_x(n) \, dn = \ell(f)\hat{\alpha}(x) = \ell(f).$$

Thus $\ell(f) = 0$ for all $f \in V$, by combining the two computations. This proves the first bullet.

For the second, let $V_x \subseteq V$ be the subspace of codimension one consisting of f such that $f(x) = 0$. We need to show that $\ell(f) = 0$ for all $f \in V_x$. Fix $f \in V_x$. Let B_x be a neighborhood of x in $\bar{N}$ such that f vanishes on $B_x \cap \omega$. Let α be such that $\hat{\alpha}(x) = 1$ and the support of $\hat{\alpha}$ is in B_x. With this modifications, the argument used in the proof of the first bullet implies that $\ell(f) = 0$. The proposition is proved. $\square$

8. Small representations of real groups

Let $k = \mathbb{R}$, and fix a character $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, $\psi(z) = e^{\sqrt{-1}z}$. Then any $y \in \bar{N}$ defines a unitary character of N by

$$\psi_y(n) = e^{\sqrt{-1}\langle n,y \rangle}$$

where $\langle n, y \rangle$ is the pairing between N and $\bar{N}$ defined in (6). Let dx be a quasi M-invariant measure on ω. Let $\nu : M \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a smooth character such that

$$d(mx) = |\nu(m)|^{-2}dx.$$

Then, as in the p-adic case, we have an irreducible unitary representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of P where $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\omega)$ and $m \in M$ and $n \in N$ act on $f \in L^2(\omega)$ by, respectively,

$$\pi(m)(f)(y) = \nu(m)f(m^{-1}y)$$

and

$$\pi(n)(f)(y) = \psi_y(n)f(y).$$

Now assume that π extends to a unitary representation of G. In particular, we assume that the G-invariant Hilbert space structure is given by the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_\mathcal{H}$ arising from the L^2-norm. Let $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ be the Fréchet space of G-smooth vectors. Let ℓ be a continuous

functional on $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ such that $\ell(\pi(n)v) = \psi_x(n)\ell(v)$ for all choices of data. The main goal of this section is to prove Proposition 8.3 asserting that $\ell = 0$ if x does not belong to the topological closure of ω and $\ell(f) = \lambda f(x)$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, if x belongs to ω, under the following, natural, assumption on π.

A regular differential operator D on ω is called *anti-symmetric* if, for any $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\omega)$ and $f \in C^\infty(\omega)$,

$$\int_\omega D\varphi \cdot \bar{f} = -\int_\omega \varphi \cdot \overline{Df}.$$

Since M acts transitively on ω, M-smooth elements in $\mathcal{H}$ are represented by smooth functions on ω, see [Po]. In particular, all elements in $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ are represented by smooth functions on ω. We assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ by anti-symmetric regular differential operators, that is, for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ there exists an anti-symmetric regular differential operator D_X such that $d\pi(X)f = D_X f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$.

Lemma 8.1. *With the above assumptions, $C_c^\infty(\omega) \subseteq \mathcal{H}^\infty$.*

Proof. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the natural pairing between $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ and $\mathcal{H}^{-\infty}$. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\omega)$. Then φ is viewed as an element in $\mathcal{H}^{-\infty}$ by

$$\langle f, \varphi \rangle := (f, \varphi)_\mathcal{H}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$. For every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $d\pi^{-\infty}(X)\varphi \in \mathcal{H}^{-\infty}$ be the weak derivative of $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}^{-\infty}$, that is,

$$\langle f, d\pi^{-\infty}(X)\varphi \rangle := -(d\pi(X)f, \varphi)_\mathcal{H}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$. Since, by the assumption, $d\pi(X)f = D_X f$ for an anti-symmetric regular differential operator D_X, we have

$$\langle f, d\pi^{-\infty}(X)\varphi \rangle = (f, D_X\varphi)_\mathcal{H}.$$

It follows that all weak derivatives of φ are contained in $\mathcal{H}$. Hence $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$, by Proposition 6.1.

$\square$

Lemma 8.2. *For every $f \in L^2(\omega)$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(N)$, $\pi(\alpha)(f) = \hat{\alpha}f$, the point-wise product of $\hat{\alpha}$ and f.*

Proof. Recall, from Section 5, that $\pi(\alpha)f$ is defined as a limit, in $L^2(\omega)$, of the sequence of finite sums

$$f_a = \sum_{x \in X_a} \mu_x \alpha(x)\pi(x)f$$

where $\sum_{x \in X_a} \mu_x \beta(x)$ converges to $\int_N \beta$ for every continuous, rapidly decreasing function β on N. In particular, for every y, the sequence

$$f_a(y) = \sum_{x \in X_a} \mu_x \alpha(x)\pi(x)(f)(y) = \sum_{x \in X_a} \mu_x \alpha(x)\psi_y(x)f(y)$$

converges to $\hat{\alpha}(y)f(y)$. Thus the sequence of functions f_a converges pointwisely to $\hat{\alpha}f$. In order to show that f_a converges to $\hat{\alpha}f$ in the L^2-norm we shall apply Lebesgue's dominated

convergence theorem. Using the triangle inequality and $|\psi_x(n)| = 1$,

$$|f_a(y)| \leq \left(\sum_{x \in X_a} \mu_x |\alpha(x)| \right) \cdot |f(y)|.$$

Since $\left(\sum_{x \in X_a} \mu_x |\alpha(x)| \right)$ converges to $C = \int_N |\alpha(x)| dx$, it follows that $|f_a(y)| \leq (C+1)|f(y)|$ for almost all a. Since $|\hat{\alpha}(y)| \leq C$ we also have $|(\hat{\alpha}f)(y)| \leq C|f(y)|$. Hence

$$|(f_a - \hat{\alpha}f)(y)|^2 \leq (2C+1)^2 |f(y)|^2.$$

Thus, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we can exchange the limit and integration in the following.

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \int_\omega |f_a - \hat{\alpha}f|^2 = \int_\omega \lim_{a \to \infty} |f_a - \hat{\alpha}f|^2 = 0.$$

$\square$

Proposition 8.3. *Assume that for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ there exists an anti-symmetric regular differential operator D_X such that $d\pi(X)f = D_X f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$. Let $x \in \bar{N}$. Let ℓ be a continuous functional on $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ such that $\ell(\pi(n)f) = \psi_x(n)\ell(f)$ for all choices of data.*

- *If x is not in the topological closure of ω, then $\ell = 0$.*
- *If $x \in \omega$, then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\ell(f) = \lambda f(x)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$.*

Proof. Assume that x is not in the topological closure of ω. Let B_x be an open neighborhood of x in $\bar{N}$ disjoint from the topological closure of ω. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(N)$ be such that $\hat{\alpha}(x) = 1$ and the support of $\hat{\alpha}$ is contained in B_x. Then $\pi(\alpha)(f) = 0$, for all f, by Lemma 8.2. On the other hand, by Proposition 5.2,

$$\ell(\pi(\alpha)f) = \hat{\alpha}(x)\ell(f) = \ell(f).$$

Combining the two gives $\ell(f) = 0$ for all f. This proves the first bullet.

For the second bullet, note that the same argument proves that $\ell(f) = 0$ for any function $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ that vanishes in an open neighborhood of x. Let d be the dimension of ω. Since ω is a homogeneous space for M, it is a smooth manifold. Hence we can take $v_1, \ldots, v_d \in N$ giving a local chart around x. More precisely, every $y \in \omega$ close to x is identified with a d-tuple of real numbers $y_i = \langle v_i, y \rangle$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$. In particular, x is identified with the d-tuple of real numbers $x_i = \langle v_i, x \rangle$. Let O_x be an open neighborhood of x in ω, identified with

$$I = \{(y_1, \ldots, y_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |y_i - x_i| < \epsilon\}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ contains $C_c^\infty(\omega)$, by Lemma 8.1, every $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ can be written as $f = f_1 + f_2$ where f_1 vanishes in a neighborhood of x and f_2 has support contained in O_x. Since $\ell(f_1) = 0$, it remains to understand the restriction of ℓ to functions supported in O_x.

Let $f \in C_c^\infty(I)$ and $f_a \in C_c^\infty(I)$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$, a sequence of functions supported in a compact set $C \subset I$, such

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} \sup_{y \in I} |Df_a(y) - Df(y)| = 0$$

for all partial derivatives D in the variables y_i. Using the identification $C_c^\infty(I) \cong C_c^\infty(O_x)$, consider f and f_a as elements in $\mathcal{H}^\infty$. Then, since $\mathfrak{g}$ acts as regular differential operators, the sequence f_a converges to f in the topology of $\mathcal{H}^\infty$. Hence, $\lim_{a \to \infty} \ell(f_a) = \ell(f)$. It

follows that ℓ defines a distribution on $C_c^\infty(I)$ supported at 0. By the structural theory of distributions, every such distribution is a finite linear combination of partial derivatives of the delta function δ_x.

Let $X_i = \log(v_i) \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $y \in \bar{N}$. Using the definition of the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in (6), we have

$$\langle e^{tX_i}, y \rangle = \kappa(tX_i, \log y) = t \cdot \kappa(X_i, \log y) = t \langle v_i, y \rangle = ty_i.$$

Thus

$$\psi_y(e^{tX_i}) = e^{\sqrt{-1}ty_i}.$$

By the equivariance of ℓ, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\ell(\pi(e^{tX_i})f) = \psi_x(e^{tX_i}) \cdot \ell(f) = e^{\sqrt{-1}tx_i} \cdot \ell(f).$$

Since ℓ is a continuous functional, we can pass to the action $d\pi$ of the Lie algebra, that is, we can differentiate with respect to t. This gives

(8) $$\ell(d\pi(X_i)f) = \sqrt{-1}x_i \cdot \ell(f).$$

On the other hand,

$$\pi(e^{tX_i})(f)(y) = \psi_y(e^{tX_i})f(y) = e^{\sqrt{-1}ty_i}f(y).$$

By passing to the action of $d\pi$,

$$d\pi(X_i)(f)(y) = \sqrt{-1}y_i \cdot f(y).$$

Substituting into (8) yields $\ell((y_i - x_i)f) = 0$. Hence $\ell(P \cdot f) = 0$ for all $f \in C_c^\infty(I)$ and all polynomials P in y_i vanishing at x. This implies that ℓ is a scalar multiple of δ_x, as claimed. $\square$

9. N-RANK

Let Ω_j be the set of elements in $\bar{N}$ of rank j as defined in Section 4. Note that Ω_j is not empty by our assumption on the Jordan algebra. Over a local field, the topological closure of Ω_j is the union of Ω_i with $i \leq j$.

9.1. **Local rank.** Assume that k is a local field. We shall define a notion of N-rank for any smooth representation (π, V) of N. Recall that, if k is archimedean, (π, V) is smooth representation on a Fréchet space. In this case V^* is the space of continuous functionals on V. If k is p-adic, V^* is the space of all functionals on V. Let $x \in \bar{N}$. Recall that every x defines a unitary character ψ_x of N. Let $(V^*)^{N,\psi_x}$ be the subspace of V^* consisting of all ℓ such that

$$\ell(\pi(n)v) = \psi_x(n)\ell(v)$$

for all choices of data.

Definition 9.1. Let (π, V) be a smooth representation of N. The largest integer j such that $(V^*)^{N,\psi_x} \neq \{0\}$, for some $x \in \Omega_j$, is called the *local N-rank* of V. Let ω be an $M(k)$-orbit in Ω_j. Suppose that the local rank of V is j. We say V *has pure rank j relative to* ω, if $(V^*)^{N,\psi_x} = \{0\}$ for all $x \in \Omega_j \setminus \omega$.

Proposition 9.2. *Assume that the rank of a smooth representation V of N is larger than j. Then there exists $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(N)$ such that the support of $\hat{\alpha}$ is disjoint from the topological closure of Ω_j and $\pi(\alpha) \neq 0$.*

Proof. By the assumption, there exists $x \in \bar{N}$, not contained in the topological closure of Ω_j, and a non-zero, continuous functional ℓ on V such that $\ell(\pi(n)v) = \psi_x(n)\ell(v)$, for all choices of data. Take $v \in V$ such that $\ell(v) \neq 0$. Clearly, we can take $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(N)$ such that $\hat{\alpha}(x) = 1$ and the support of $\hat{\alpha}$ is disjoint from the topological closure of Ω_j. Then by Proposition 5.2

$$\ell(\pi(\alpha)v) = \hat{\alpha}(x)\ell(v) = \ell(v) \neq 0.$$

$\square$

9.2. **Automorphic representations.** Assume that k is a number field. Let $k_\infty = k \otimes \mathbb{R}$, and $\hat{k}$ be the completion of k with respect to all discrete valuations on k. Then $\mathbb{A} = k_\infty \times \hat{k}$ is the ring of adeles. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $G(k_\infty)$, and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ the corresponding enveloping algebra.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the space of functions f on $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that

(1) f is left $G(k)$-invariant.
(2) f is right K_f-invariant, where K_f is an open compact subgroup of $G(\hat{k})$, depending on f.
(3) For every $\hat{g} \in G(\hat{k})$, $g_\infty \mapsto f(g_\infty, \hat{g})$ is a smooth function. In particular, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on f from the right by left invariant regular differential operators.
(4) The condition (3) assures that $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on f, $f \mapsto u \cdot f$, by a left invariant regular differential operator. We assume that f is annihilated by an ideal I of finite index in $Z(\mathfrak{g})$, the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.
(5) f is of uniform moderate growth. This means that there exists an integer d such that for all $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$, the function $|u \cdot f(g)| \cdot ||g||^d$ is bounded on $G(k_\infty)$.

Fix $\hat{K}$, an open compact subgroup of $G(\hat{k})$, I and d. Let $\mathcal{A}(\hat{K}, I, d)$ be the subspace of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of f right invariant by $\hat{K}$, annihilated by I and of moderate growth controlled by d as above. Then on $\mathcal{A}(\hat{K}, I, d)$ we have a family of semi-norms

$$\sup_{g \in G(k_\infty)} |u \cdot f(g)| \cdot ||g||^d,$$

one for every $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $\mathcal{A}(\hat{K}, I, d)$ is a Fréchet space with a smooth $G(k_\infty)$-action. The underlying $(\mathfrak{g}, K_\infty)$-module is of finite length, by an old result of Harish-Chandra.

The group $G(\mathbb{A})$ acts on $\mathcal{A}$ by right translations. We shall denote this action by R. An irreducible automorphic representation is a subspace $\pi \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ invariant under the action of $G(\mathbb{A})$ and satisfying the following additional conditions. There is a smooth representation π_∞ of $G(k_\infty)$ on a Fréchet space, a smooth representation $\hat{\pi}$ of $G(\hat{k})$, and a $G(\mathbb{A})$-intertwining isomorphism

$$T : \pi_\infty \otimes \hat{\pi} \to \pi \subset \mathcal{A}.$$

Moreover, for every open compact subgroup $\hat{K}$ of $G(\hat{k})$, the map T is continuous $G(k_\infty)$-intertwining map from $\pi_\infty \otimes \hat{\pi}^{\hat{K}}$ to $\mathcal{A}(\hat{K}, I, d)$, for some d and I. (Note that the Fréchet topology on π_∞ induces a canonical one on $\pi_\infty \otimes \hat{\pi}^{\hat{K}}$ since $\hat{\pi}^{\hat{K}}$ is finite dimensional.) Finally,

we remark that $\hat{\pi}$ is a restricted direct product of smooth irreducible representations π_v of $G(k_v)$ for every finite place v.

9.3. **Global rank.** Let π an irreducible automorphic representation. Fix a character $\psi : k\backslash\mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. For $x \in \bar{N}(k)$, we define $\psi_x : N(k)\backslash N(\mathbb{A}) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by $\psi_x(n) = \psi(\langle n, x\rangle)$. Then $f \in \pi$ admits a Fourier expansion

$$f(g) = \sum_{x\in\bar{N}(k)} f_x(g)$$

where

$$f_x(g) = \int_{N(k)\backslash N(\mathbb{A})} f(ng)\bar{\psi}_x(n)dn.$$

The functional

$$\ell_x : \pi \to \mathbb{C}$$

defined by $\ell_x(f) = f_x(1)$ for all $f \in \pi$ satisfies

$$\ell_x(R(n)f) = \psi_x(n)\ell_x(f)$$

for all $n \in N(\mathbb{A})$ and $f \in \pi$. It is useful to note, and easy to check, that $\ell_x = 0$ implies $\ell_y = 0$ for all y in the $M(k)$-orbit of x.

Definition 9.3. Let π be an irreducible automorphic representation. The largest integer j such that $\ell_x \neq 0$ for some $x \in \Omega_j$ is called the *global N-rank* of π. Let ω be an $M(k)$-orbit in Ω_j. Suppose that the global rank of π is j. We say π has *pure rank j relative to ω*, if $\ell_x = 0$ for all $x \in \Omega_j \setminus \omega$.

Theorem 9.4. *Let π be an irreducible automorphic representation. If the global N-rank of π is j then, for any finite place v, the local component π_v of π has the local N-rank j.*

Proof. We fix an isomorphism T of π with $\pi_\infty \otimes \hat{\pi}$. We shall prove that π_∞ has rank j. The proof of the statement for the components of $\hat{\pi}$ is similar and easier, since there are no topological considerations. We leave this out as an exercise. Let $x \in \Omega_j$ such that $f_x(1) \neq 0$ for some $f \in \pi$. Let $\hat{K}$ be an open compact subgroup in $G(\hat{k})$ such that f is left invariant under $\hat{K}$. Then f lies in the image of $\pi_\infty \otimes \hat{\pi}^{\hat{K}}$. The map $f \mapsto f_x(1)$ is clearly continuous in the topology of $\mathcal{A}(\hat{K}, I, d)$. Hence, by composing it with T, it gives a continuous, non-zero, functional on $\pi_\infty \otimes \hat{\pi}^{\hat{K}}$, a finite multiple of π_∞. Hence the local N-rank of π_∞ is greater or equal to j.

It remains to show that the rank of π_∞ is not greater than j. By Proposition 9.2, it suffices to show that $\pi_\infty(\alpha) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(N_\infty)$ such that the Fourier transform $\hat{\alpha}$ is supported on elements of rank $> j$. By using the intertwining map T, it suffices to prove that

$$R(\alpha)(f) = 0$$

for all $f \in T(\pi_\infty \otimes \pi^{\hat{K}})$, for some $\hat{K}$, where R denotes the representation of $G(k_\infty)$ on $\mathcal{A}(\hat{K}, I, d)$, acting by right translations.

Lemma 9.5. *Let $f \in A(\hat{K}, I, d)$, and $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}(N_\infty)$. Then*

$$R(\alpha)(f)(g) = \int_N f(gn)\alpha(n)dn.$$

Proof. Recall that the operator $R(\alpha)(f)$ is defined as a limit, in the Fréchet topology on $\mathcal{A}(\hat{K}, I, d)$, of a sequence of functions f_a, $a \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f_a(g) = \sum_{n \in X_a} \mu_n f(gn)\alpha(n)$$

where, X_a are finite sets in N_∞ and μ_n positive real numbers such that for every continuous, rapidly decreasing function β on N_∞, the sequence $\sum_{n \in X_a} \mu_n \beta(n)$ converges to the integral of β.

The topology of $\mathcal{A}(\hat{K}, I, d)$ is given by sup-norms, hence the convergence of f_a implies the convergence of $f_a(g)$ for every $g \in G(\mathbb{A})$. Since, for every g, the function $n \mapsto f(gn)\alpha(n)$ is rapidly decreasing on N_∞, the sequence $f_a(g)$ converges to the integral of $f(gn)\alpha(n)$. This proves the lemma.

$\square$

Since $R(\alpha)(f)$ is smooth function on $G(k)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})$ and $G(k)$ is dense in $G(k_\infty)$ (see Proposition 7.11 in [PR]), it suffices to prove that $R(\alpha)(f) = 0$ on $G(\hat{k})$. Let $\hat{g} \in G(\hat{k})$. Firstly, we expand $R(\alpha)(f)(\hat{g})$ using the Fourier series:

$$R(\alpha)f(\hat{g}) = \sum_{x \in \bar{N}(k)} (R(\alpha)f)_x(\hat{g}).$$

We shall now analyze each individual summand. Using the Fubini Theorem, one easily justifies that

$$(R(\alpha)f)_x(\hat{g}) = \int_{N_\infty} \alpha(n)f_x(\hat{g}n) \, dn.$$

Now observe that $\hat{g}$ commutes with $n \in N_\infty$ and that $f_x(n\hat{g}) = \psi_x(n)f_x(\hat{g})$. Hence

$$\int_{N_\infty} \alpha(n)f_x(\hat{g}n) \, dn = \int_{N_\infty} \alpha(n)\psi_x(n)f_x(\hat{g}) \, dn = \hat{\alpha}(x)f_x(\hat{g}).$$

The last term is clearly 0. Indeed, $\hat{\alpha}(x) = 0$ if the rank of x is $> j$ and $f_x = 0$ otherwise, by the assumption on f. This proves the theorem. $\square$

10. GLOBAL UNIQUENESS OF SMALL REPRESENTATIONS

Let G be as in Section 3.1, defined over a number field k. Let π be a smooth irreducible representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$. The multiplicity $m(\pi)$ of π in $\mathcal{A}$, the space of autmorphic functions, is defined as

$$m(\pi) = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{G(\mathbb{A})}(\pi, \mathcal{A}).$$

Theorem 10.1. *Let $\pi = \hat{\otimes}\pi_v$ be a smooth irreducible representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$. For every place v, assume that the representation π_v has the N-rank $j < r$, pure relative to a single $M(k_v)$-orbit ω_v in $\Omega_j(k_v)$, and*

$$(\pi_v^*)^{N(k_v), \psi_x} \cong \mathbb{C}$$

for $x \in \omega_v$. Then $m(\pi) \leq 1$.

Proof. Let $T \in \mathrm{Hom}_{G(\mathbb{A})}(\pi, \mathcal{A})$, $T \neq 0$. The purity of π_v and the Hasse principle for Ω_j, Theorem 4.2, imply that $T(\pi)$, if non-zero, is pure relative to a single $M(k)$-orbit ω in $\Omega_j(k)$. Fix $x \in \omega$. For every $v \in \pi$, let

$$\ell_{x,T}(v) = f_x(1)$$

where $f = T(v)$ and $f_x(1)$ is the Fourier coefficient of f. Then $\ell_{x,T}$ is a functional on π such that $\ell_{x,T}(\pi(n)v) = \psi_x(n)\ell_{x,T}(v)$ for all v. If $T_1, T_2 \in \mathrm{Hom}_{G(\mathbb{A})}(\pi, \mathcal{A})$ and are non-zero, then, by the uniqueness of the functional at every place, there exist $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ such that $c_1 \ell_{x,T_1} + c_2 \ell_{x,T_2} = 0$. Since

$$c_1 \ell_{x,T_1} + c_2 \ell_{x,T_2} = \ell_{x,c_1 T_1 + c_2 T_2},$$

it follows that $\ell_{x,c_1 T_1 + c_2 T_2} = 0$. However, for any $T \in \mathrm{Hom}_{G(\mathbb{A})}(\pi, \mathcal{A})$, $\ell_{x,T} = 0$ for one $x \in \omega$ implies $\ell_{y,T} = 0$ for all $y \in \omega$. Hence $(c_1 T_1 + c_2 T_2)(\pi)$ has the global rank strictly less than j. In turn, Theorem 9.4 implies that the local components of $(c_1 T_1 + c_2 T_2)(\pi)$ have the rank strictly less than j. This is only possible if $c_1 T_1 + c_2 T_2 = 0$. Hence $\mathrm{Hom}_{G(\mathbb{A})}(\pi, \mathcal{A})$ is at most one dimensional. $\square$

We now look at the minimal representations. A representation of a real groups is minimal if the annihilator in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is the Joseph ideal. For the groups considered in this paper, Theorems A and B in [HKM] imply that the minimal representations satisfy the conditions of Proposition 8.3. In turn, Proposition 8.3 implies that the minimal representations satisfy the conditions of Theorem 10.1. On the other hand, a representation of a p-adic group is minimal if its character, viewed as a distribution around $0 \in \mathfrak{g}$, is equal to

$$\int_O \hat{f} + c\hat{f}(0)$$

where $\hat{f}$ is the Fourier transform of $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g})$, and O is a minimal G-orbit in $\mathfrak{g}$. (See [MW] and [GS] for more details.) For the groups considered in this paper, the minimal representations, when restricted to P, have a realization on $L^2(\omega)$ where $\omega = \bar{\mathfrak{n}} \cap O$, see [To]. Now Proposition 7.2 implies that the minimal representations satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 10.1. Summarizing, we have the following corollary to Theorem 10.1. (As conjectured in the introduction of [MS].)

Corollary 10.2. *Let $\pi = \hat{\otimes}\pi_v$ be a smooth irreducible representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ such that any local component π_v is minimal. Then $m(\pi) \leq 1$.*

.

11. Acknowledgments

References

[CC]   A. M. Cohen and B. N. Cooperstein, *The 2-spaces of the standard $E_6(q)$-module,* Geometriae Dedi-cata **25**, (1988), 467–480.

[GS]   W.-T. Gan and G. Savin, *On minimal representations, definitions and properties,* Representation Theory, **9** (2005), 46–93.

[HKM] J. Hilgert, T. Kobayashi and J. Möllers, *Minimal representations via Bessel operators,* J. Math. Soc. Japan, **66** (2014), 349–414.

[Ho]   R. Howe, *Automorphic forms of low rank,* in Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **880**, pp. 211–248, Springer, 1981.

[Ja]   N. Jacobson, *Structure and Representations of Jordan Algebras,* Colloquium Publications, American Mathematical Society, 1968, 453 pp.

[Ko]   M. Koecher, *Über eine Gruppe von rationalen Abbildungen,* Invent. Math. **3**, (1967), 136–171.

[KM]   T. Kobayashi and G. Mano, *The Schrödinger model for the minimal representation of the indefinite orthogonal group $O(p,q)$,* Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **212**, (2011) no. 1000, vi+132 pp.

[MC]   K. McCrimmon, A Taste of Jordan Algebras, Universitext, Springer, 2004, 554 pp.

[MS]   S. Miller and S. Sahi, *Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms, character variety orbits, and small representations,* Journal of Number Theory, **132** (2012), 3070–3108.

[MW]   C. Moeglin and J.-P. Waldspurger, *Modèles de Whittaker dégénérés pour des groupes p-adiques,* Math. Z. **196** (1987), 427–452.

[PR]   V. P. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, Algebraic groups and number theory, Pure and Applied Mathe-matics, **139**, Academic Press Inc., Boston, Ma, 1994.

[Po]   N. S. Poulsen, *On C^∞-vectors and intertwining bilinear forms for representations of Lie groups,* J. Funct. Analysis, **9** (1972), 87–120.

[RRS] R. Richardson, G. Röhrle and R. Steinberg, *Parabolic subgroups with abelian unipotent radical,* Invent. Math., **110** (1992), 649–671.

[Sa]   S. Sahi, *Explicit Hilbert spaces for certain unipotent representations,* Invent. Math., **110** (1992), 409–418.

[SW]   G. Savin and M. Woodbury, *Structure of internal modules and a formula for the spherical vector of minimal representations*, J. of Algebra, **312** (2007), 755–772.

[Sch] W. Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian Forms,* Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 270, Springer-Verlag, 1985.

[To]   P. Torasso, *Méthode des orbites de Kirillov–Duflo et représentations minimales des groupes simples sur un corps local de caractristique nulle,* Duke Math. J., **90** (1997), 261–377.

[We]   M. Weissman, *The Fourier–Jacobi map and small representations,* Representation Theory, **7** (2003), 275–299.

Toshiyuki Kobayashi, Kavli IPMU and Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, the University of Tokyo
  E-mail address: toshi@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Gordan Savin, Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112
  E-mail address: savin@math.utah.edu