

*To Michel Dubois-Violette
with friendship and appreciation*

Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras in 4D Conformal Field Theory

Ivan Todorov*

Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, 35 route de Chartres,
F-91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France

Abstract

It is known that there are no scalar Lie fields in more than two space-time dimensions. Bilocal fields, however, which naturally arise in conformal operator product expansions, do generate infinite Lie algebras. Recent work, [BNRT07], [BNRT08], is reviewed, in which we classify such algebras and their unitary positive energy representations in a theory of a system of scalar fields of dimension two. The results are linked to the Doplicher-Haag-Roberts theory of superselection sectors governed by a (global) compact gauge group.

1 Can methods of 2D CFT work in 4-dimensional conformal field theory?

The usual answer to the question in the title is *no*. Several reasons are given why 2-dimensional conformal field theory (2D CFT) is rather special so that extending its methods to higher dimensions appears to be hopeless.

1. The 2D conformal group is infinite dimensional: it is the direct product of the diffeomorphism groups of the left and right (compactified) light rays. By contrast, for $D > 2$, according to the Liouville theorem, the conformal group in D space time dimensions is finite (in fact, $(D+1)(D+2)/2$ -dimensional: it is (a covering of) the spin group $\text{Spin}(D, 2)$).

*Permanent address: Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Tsarigradsko Chaussee 72, BG-1784 Sofia, Bulgaria; e-mail address: todorov@inrne.bas.bg

2. The representation theory of affine Kac-Moody algebras and of the Virasoro algebra is playing a crucial role in constructing soluble $2D$ models of (rational) CFT. There are, on the other hand, no local Lie fields in higher dimensions: after an inconclusive attempt by Robinson [R64] (criticized in [L67]) this was proven for scalar fields by Baumann [B76].
3. The light cone in two dimensions is the direct product of two light rays. This geometric fact is the basis of splitting $2D$ variables into right- and left-movers' *chiral variables*. No such splitting seems to be available in higher dimensions.
4. There are chiral algebras in $2D$ CFT whose *local currents* satisfy the axioms of *vertex algebras* (see e.g. [K] and references therein) and have rational correlation functions. It was believed for a long time that they have no interesting higher dimensional CFT analogue.
5. Furthermore, the chiral currents in a $2D$ CFT on a torus have elliptic correlation functions [Zh96], the 1-point function of the stress energy tensor appearing as a modular form (these can be also interpreted as finite temperature correlation functions and a thermal energy mean value on the Riemann sphere). Again, there seemed to be no good reason to expect higher dimensional analogues of these attractive properties.

We shall argue that each of the listed features of $2D$ CFT does have, when properly understood, a higher dimensional counterpart.

1. The presence of a conformal anomaly (a non-zero Virasoro central charge c) tells us that the infinite conformal symmetry in $1+1$ dimension is, in fact, broken. What is actually used in $2D$ CFT is the *operator product expansion* (OPE) which appear for any D and allow to extend the notion of a primary field (for instance with respect to the stress-energy tensor).
2. For $D = 4$, infinite dimensional Lie algebras are generated by *bifields* $V_{ij}(x_1, x_2)$ which naturally arise in the OPE of a set of (say, hermitean, scalar) local fields ϕ_i of dimension $d(>1)$:

$$(x_{12}^2)^d \phi_i(x_1) \phi_j(x_2) = N_{ij} + x_{12}^2 V_{ij}(x_1, x_2) + O((x_{12}^2)^2),$$

$$x_{12} = x_1 - x_2, \quad x^2 = \mathbf{x}^2 - x^0{}^2, \quad N_{ij} > 0 \quad (1.1)$$

where V_{ij} are defined as (infinite) sums of OPE contributions of (twist two) conserved local tensor currents. This being the topic of the present talk, we shall elaborate on it later (see [NST02], [BNRT07], [BNRT08]).

3. We shall exhibit a factorization of higher dimensional intervals by using the following parametrization of the conformally compactified space-time ([U63], [T86], [N05], [NT05]):

$$\bar{M} = \{z_\alpha = e^{it} u_\alpha, \alpha = 1, \dots, D; t, u_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}; u^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^D u_\alpha^2 = 1\} = \frac{\mathbb{S}^{D-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1}{\{1, -1\}}. \quad (1.2)$$

The real interval between two points $z_1 = e^{it_1} u_1$, $z_2 = e^{it_2} u_2$ is given by:

$$z_{12}^2 (z_1^2 z_2^2)^{-1/2} = 2 (\cos t_{12} - \cos \alpha) = -4 \sin t_+ \sin t_-, \quad z_{12} = z_1 - z_2 \quad (1.3)$$

$$t_{\pm} = 1/2 (t_{12} \pm \alpha), \quad u_1 \cdot u_2 = \cos \alpha, \quad t_{12} = t_1 - t_2. \quad (1.4)$$

Thus t_+ and t_- are the compact picture counterparts of “left” and “right” chiral variables (see [NT05]). The factorization of $2D$ cross ratios into chiral parts again has a higher dimensional analogue [DO01]:

$$s := \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2} = u_+ u_-, \quad t := \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2} = (1 - u_+) (1 - u_-), \quad x_{ij} = x_i - x_j \quad (1.5)$$

which yields a separation of variables in the d’Alembert equation (cf. Remark 2.1).

4. It turns out that the requirement of *global conformal invariance* (GCI) in Minkowski space together with the standard Wightman axioms of local commutativity and energy positivity entails the rationality of correlation functions in any even number of space-time dimensions [NT01]. Indeed, GCI and local commutativity of Bose fields (for space-like separations of the arguments) imply the *Huygens principle* and, in fact, the strong (algebraic) locality condition

$$(x_{12}^2)^n [\phi_i(x_1), \phi_j(x_2)] = 0 \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large} \quad (1.6)$$

which allows the introduction of higher dimensional vertex algebras [N05].

5. Local GCI fields have elliptic thermal correlation functions with respect to the (differences of) conformal time variables in any even number of space-time dimensions; the corresponding energy mean values in a Gibbs (KMS) state (see e.g. [H]) are expressed as linear combinations of modular forms [NT05].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we reproduce the general form of the 4-point function of the bifield V and the leading term in its conformal partial wave expansion. The case of a theory of scalar fields of dimension $d = 2$ is singled out, in which the bifields (and the unit operator) close a commutator algebra. In Sect. 3 we classify the arising infinite dimensional Lie algebras $\mathcal{L}$ in terms of the three real division rings $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$. In Sect. 4 we formulate the main result of [BNRT07] and [BNRT08] on the Fock space representations of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ with compact gauge group $U(N, \mathbb{F})$ where N is the central charge of $\mathcal{L}$.

2 Four-point functions and conformal partial wave expansions

The conformal bifields $V(x_1, x_2)$ of dimension $(1, 1)$ which arise in the OPE (1.1) (as sums of integrals of conserved tensor currents) satisfy the d’Alembert equation in each argument [NST03]; we shall call them *harmonic bifields*. Their

correlation functions depend on the dimension d of the local scalar fields ϕ . For $d = 1$ one is actually dealing with the theory of a free massless field. We shall, therefore, assume $d > 1$. A basis $\{f_{\nu i}, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, d-2, i = 1, 2\}$ of invariant amplitudes $F(s, t)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | V_1(x_1, x_2) V_2(x_3, x_4) | 0 \rangle &= \frac{1}{\rho_{13} \rho_{24}} F(s, t), \\ \rho_{ij} &= x_{ij}^2 + i0x_{ij}^0, \quad x^2 = \mathbf{x}^2 - (x^0)^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (u_+ - u_-) f_{\nu 1}(s, t) &= \frac{u_+^{\nu+1}}{(1 - u_+)^{\nu+1}} - \frac{u_-^{\nu+1}}{(1 - u_-)^{\nu+1}}, \\ (u_+ - u_-) f_{\nu 2}(s, t) &= (-1)^\nu (u_+^{\nu+1} - u_-^{\nu+1}), \quad \nu = 0, 1, \dots, d-2; \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{01} &= \frac{1}{t}, \quad f_{02} = 1; \quad f_{11} = \frac{1-s-t}{t^2}, \quad f_{12} = t-s-1; \\ f_{21} &= \frac{(1-t)^2 - s(2-t) + s^2}{t^3}, \quad f_{\nu 2}(s, t) = \frac{1}{t} f_{\nu 1}\left(\frac{s}{t}, \frac{1}{t}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$f_{\nu i}, i = 1, 2$ corresponding to *single pole terms* [NRT08] in the 4-point correlation functions $w_{\nu i}(x_1, \dots, x_4) = f_{\nu i}(s, t)/\rho_{13} \rho_{24}$:

$$\begin{aligned} w_{01} &= \frac{1}{\rho_{14} \rho_{23}}, \quad w_{02} = \frac{1}{\rho_{13} \rho_{24}}; \\ w_{11} &= \frac{\rho_{13} \rho_{24} - \rho_{14} \rho_{23} - \rho_{12} \rho_{34}}{\rho_{14}^2 \rho_{23}^2}, \quad w_{12} = \frac{\rho_{14} \rho_{23} - \rho_{13} \rho_{24} - \rho_{12} \rho_{34}}{\rho_{13}^2 \rho_{24}^2}; \\ w_{21} &= \frac{(\rho_{13} \rho_{24} - \rho_{14} \rho_{23})^2 - \rho_{12} \rho_{34} (2 \rho_{13} \rho_{24} - \rho_{14} \rho_{23}) + \rho_{12}^2 \rho_{34}^2}{\rho_{14}^3 \rho_{23}^3}, \\ w_{22} &= \frac{(\rho_{14} \rho_{23} - \rho_{13} \rho_{24})^2 - \rho_{12} \rho_{34} (2 \rho_{14} \rho_{23} - \rho_{13} \rho_{24}) + \rho_{12}^2 \rho_{34}^2}{\rho_{13}^3 \rho_{24}^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

We have $w_{\nu 2} = P_{34} w_{\nu 1} (= P_{12} w_{\nu 1})$ where P_{ij} stands for the substitution of the arguments x_i and x_j . Clearly, for $x_1 = x_2$ (or $s = 0, t = 1$) only the amplitudes f_{0i} contribute to the 4-point function (2.1). Indeed, it has been demonstrated in [NRT05] that the lowest angular momentum (ℓ) contribution to $f_{\nu i}$ corresponds to $\ell = \nu$. The corresponding OPE of the bifield V starts with a local scalar field ϕ of dimension $d = 2$ for $\nu = 0$; with a conserved current j_μ (of $d = 3$) for $\nu = 1$; with the stress energy tensor $T_{\lambda\mu}$ for $\nu = 2$. Indeed, the amplitude $f_{\nu 1}$ admits an expansion in twist two¹ *conformal partial waves* $\beta_\ell(s, t)$ [DMPPT] starting with (for a derivation see [NRT05], Appendix B)

$$\beta_\nu(s, t) = \frac{G_{\nu+1}(u_+) - G_{\nu+1}(u_-)}{u_+ - u_-}, \quad G_\mu(u) = u^\mu F(\mu, \mu; 2\mu; u). \quad (2.5)$$

¹The twist of a symmetric traceless tensor is defined as the difference between its dimension and its rank. All conserved symmetric tensors in $4D$ have twist two.

Remark 2.1 Eqs. (2.2) (2.5) provide examples of solutions of the d’Alambert equation in any of the arguments $x_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. In fact, the general conformal covariant (of dimension 1 in each argument) such solution has the form of the right hand side of (2.1) with

$$F(s, t) = \frac{f(u_+) - f(u_-)}{u_+ - u_-}. \quad (2.6)$$

Remark 2.2 We note that albeit each individual conformal partial wave is a transcendental function (like (2.5)) the sum of all such twist two contributions is the rational function $f_{\nu 1}(s, t)$.

It can be deduced from the analysis of 4-point functions that the commutator algebra of a set of harmonic bifields generated by OPE of scalar fields of dimension d can only close on the V ’s and the unit operator for $d = 2$. In this case the bifields V are proven, in addition, to be *Huygens bilocal* [NRT08].

Remark 2.3 In general, irreducible positive energy representations of the (connected) conformal group are labeled by triples $(d; j_1, j_2)$ including the dimension d and the Lorentz weight (j_1, j_2) ($2j_i \in \mathbb{N}$), [M77]. It turns out that for $d = 3$ there is a spintensor bifield of weight

$$((3/2; 1/2, 0) \oplus (3/2; 0, 1/2), (3/2; 1/2, 0) \oplus (3/2; 0, 1/2))$$

whose commutator algebra does close; for $d = 4$ there is a conformal tensor bifield that transforms under the tensor square of the direct sum of conformal weights $(2; 1, 0) \oplus (2; 0, 1)$. (In both cases the direct sums of triples label irreducible representations of the extended conformal group that includes the space reflection.)

3 Infinite dimensional Lie algebras and real division rings

Our starting point is the following result of [NRT08].

Proposition 3.1. *The harmonic bilocal fields V arising in the OPEs of a (finite) set of local hermitean scalar fields of dimension $d = 2$ can be labeled by the elements M of an algebra $\mathcal{M} \subset \text{Mat}(L, \mathbb{R})$ of real matrices closed under transposition, $M \rightarrow {}^t M$, in such a way that the following commutation relations (CR) hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} [V_{M_1}(x_1, x_2), V_{M_2}(x_3, x_4)] &= \Delta_{13} V_{\iota_{M_1 M_2}}(x_2, x_4) + \Delta_{24} V_{M_1 \iota_{M_2}}(x_1, x_3) \\ &+ \Delta_{23} V_{M_1 M_2}(x_1, x_4) + \Delta_{14} V_{M_2 M_1}(x_3, x_2) \\ &+ \text{tr}(M_1 M_2) \Delta_{12, 34} + \text{tr}({}^t M_1 M_2) \Delta_{12, 43}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

here Δ_{ij} is the free field commutator, $\Delta_{ij} := \Delta_{ij}^+ - \Delta_{ji}^+$, and $\Delta_{12,ij} = \Delta_{1i}^+ \Delta_{2j}^+ - \Delta_{i1}^+ \Delta_{j2}^+$ where $\Delta_{ij}^+ = \Delta^+(x_i - x_j)$ is the 2-point Wightman function of a free massless scalar field.

We call the set of bilocal fields closed under the CR (3.1) a *Lie system*. The types of a Lie systems are determined by the irreducible associative matrix algebras $\mathcal{M}$. As observed in [BNRT08] $\mathcal{M}$ is irreducible iff its commutant $\mathcal{M}'$ coincides with one of the three *real division rings* (or not necessarily commutative *fields*): the fields of real and the complex numbers $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$, and the noncommutative division ring $\mathbb{H}$ of quaternions. In each case the Lie algebra of bilocal fields is a central extension of an infinite dimensional Lie algebra that admits a discrete series of highest weight representations².

It was proven, first in the theory of a single scalar field ϕ (of dimension two) [NST02], and eventually for an arbitrary set of such fields [NRT08], that the bilocal fields V_M can be written as linear combinations of normal products of free massless scalar fields $\varphi_i(x)$:

$$V_M(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{i,j=1}^L M^{ij} : \varphi_i(x_1) \varphi_j(x_2) : . \quad (3.2)$$

For each of the above types of Lie systems V_M has a canonical form, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} : V(x_1, x_2) &= \sum_{i=1}^N : \varphi_i(x_1) \varphi_i(x_2) :, \\ \mathbb{C} : W(x_1, x_2) &= \sum_{j=1}^N : \varphi_j^*(x_1) \varphi_j(x_2) :, \\ \mathbb{H} : Y(x_1, x_2) &= \sum_{m=1}^N : \varphi_m^+(x_1) \varphi_m(x_2) : \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where φ_i are real, φ_j are complex, and φ_m are quaternionic valued fields (corresponding to (3.2) with $L = N, 2N$, and $4N$, respectively). We shall denote the associated infinite dimensional Lie algebra by $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$, or $\mathbb{H}$.

Remark 3.1 We note that the quaternions (realized as 4×4 real matrices) appear both in the definition of Y - i.e., of the matrix algebra $\mathcal{M}$, and of its commutant $\mathcal{M}'$, the two mutually commuting sets of imaginary quaternionic units ℓ_i and r_j corresponding to the splitting of the Lie algebra $so(4)$ of real skew-symmetric

²Finite dimensional simple Lie groups G with this property have been extensively studied by mathematicians (for a review and references - see [EHW]); for an extension to the infinite dimensional case - see [S90]. If Z is the centre of G and K is a closed maximal subgroup of G such that K/Z is compact then G is characterized by the property that (G, K) is a *hermitean symmetric pair*. Such groups give rise to *simple space-time symmetries* in the sense of [MR07] (and of earlier work - in particular by Günaydin - cited there).

4×4 matrices into a direct sum of “a left and a right” $so(3)$ Lie algebras:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_1 &= \sigma_3 \otimes \epsilon, \ell_2 = \epsilon \otimes \mathbf{1}, \ell_3 = \ell_1 \ell_2 = \sigma_1 \otimes \epsilon, \\ (\ell_j)_{\alpha\beta} &= \delta_{\alpha 0} \delta_{j\beta} - \delta_{\alpha j} \delta_{0\beta} - \varepsilon_{0j\alpha\beta}, \alpha, \beta = 0, 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2, 3; \\ r_1 &= \epsilon \otimes \sigma_3, r_2 = \mathbf{1} \otimes \epsilon, r_3 = r_1 r_2 = \epsilon \otimes \sigma_1 \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where σ_k are the Pauli matrices, $\epsilon = i\sigma_2$, $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is the totally antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor normalized by $\varepsilon_{0123} = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Y(x_1, x_2) &= V_0(x_1, x_2) \mathbf{1} + V_1(x_1, x_2) \ell_1 + V_2(x_1, x_2) \ell_2 + V_3(x_1, x_2) \ell_3 \\ &= Y(x_2, x_1)^+ (\ell_i^+ = -\ell_i, [\ell_i, r_j] = 0); \\ V_\kappa(x_1, x_2) &= \sum_{m=1}^N : \varphi_m^\alpha(x_1) (\ell_\kappa)_{\alpha\beta} \varphi_m^\beta(x_2) :, \ell_0 = \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

In order to determine the Lie algebra corresponding to the CR (3.1) in each of the three cases (3.4) we choose a discrete basis and specify the topology of the resulting infinite matrix algebra in such a way that the generators of the conformal Lie algebra (most importantly, the conformal Hamiltonian H) belong to it. The basis, say (X_{mn}) where m, n are multiindices, corresponds to the expansion [T86] of a free massless scalar field φ in creation and annihilation operators of fixed energy states

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu=1}^{(\ell+1)^2} ((z^2)^{-\ell-1} \varphi_{\ell+1, \mu} + \varphi_{-\ell-1, \mu}) h_{\ell\mu}(z), \quad (3.6)$$

where $(h_{\ell\mu}(z), \mu = 1, \dots, (\ell+1)^2)$ form a basis of homogeneous harmonic polynomials of degree ℓ in the complex 4-vector z (of the parametrization (1.2) of $\bar{M}$). The generators of the conformal Lie algebra $su(2, 2)$ are expressed as infinite sums in X_{mn} with a finite number of diagonals (cf. Appendix B to [BNRT07]). The requirement $su(2, 2) \subset \mathcal{L}$ implies that the last (c-number) term in (3.1) gives rise to a non-trivial central extension of $\mathcal{L}$.

The analysis of [BNRT07], [BNRT08] implies the following

Proposition 3.2 *The Lie algebras $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$, $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ are 1-parameter central extensions of appropriate completions of the following inductive limits of matrix algebras:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} : sp(\infty, \mathbb{R}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} sp(2n, \mathbb{R}) \\ \mathbb{C} : u(\infty, \infty) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(n, n) \\ \mathbb{H} : so^*(4\infty) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} so^*(4n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

In the free field realization (3.3) the suitably normalized central charge coincides with the positive integer N .

4 Fock space representation with a compact gauge group

To summarize the discussion of the last section: there are three infinite dimensional irreducible Lie algebras, $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ that are generated in a theory of GCI scalar fields of dimension $d = 2$ and correspond to the three real division rings $\mathbb{F}$ (Proposition 3.2). For an integer central charge N they admit a free field realization of type (3.3) and a Fock space representation with (compact) gauge group $U(N, \mathbb{F})$:

$$U(N, \mathbb{R}) = O(N), \quad U(N, \mathbb{C}) = U(N), \quad U(N, \mathbb{H}) = Sp(2N). \quad (4.1)$$

It is remarkable that this result holds in general.

Theorem 4.1 (i) *In any unitary irreducible positive energy representation (UIPER) of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ the central charge N is a positive integer.*

(ii) *All UIPERs of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ are realized (with multiplicities) in the Fock space $\mathcal{F}$ of $N \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{F}$ free hermitean massless scalar fields.*

(iii) *The ground states of equivalent UIPERs in $\mathcal{F}$ form irreducible representations of the gauge group $U(N, \mathbb{F})$ (4.1). This establishes a one-to-one correspondence between UIPERs of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ occurring in the Fock space and the irreducible representations of $U(N, \mathbb{F})$.*

The *proof* of this theorem for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ is given in [BNRT07] (the proof of (i) is already contained in [NST02]); the proof for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{H}$ is given in [BNRT08].

Theorem 4.1 provides a link between two parallel developments, one in the study of highest weight modules of reductive Lie groups (and of related dual pairs) [EHW], [S90] (and [H85] [H89]), the other in the work of Haag-Doplicher-Roberts [H], [DR90] on the theory of (global) gauge groups and superselection sectors in the operator algebra approach to local quantum physics (which actually both originated - in the talks of Irving Segal and Rudolf Haag, respectively - at the same Lille 1957 conference on mathematical problems in quantum field theory). Albeit the settings are not equivalent the results match. The observable algebra (in our case, the commutator algebra generated by the set of bilocal fields V_M) determines the (compact) gauge group and the structure of the superselection sectors of the theory. (For a more careful comparison between the two approaches - see Sections 1 and 4 of [BNRT07].)

The infinite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F})$ and the compact gauge group $U(N, \mathbb{F})$ appear as a rather special (limit-) case of a *dual pair* in the sense of Howe [H89]. It would be interesting to explore whether other (inequivalent) pairs would appear in the study of commutator algebras of (spin)tensor bifields (discussed in Remark 2.2) and of their supersymmetric extension (e.g. a limit as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ of the series of Lie superalgebras $spo(4m^*|2n)$ studied in [GS91]).

Acknowledgments. It is a pleasure to thank my coauthors Bojko Bakalov, Nikolay M. Nikolov and Karl-Henning Rehren: all results (reported in Sects. 2-4) of this talk have been obtained in collaboration with them. (Remarks by K.-H. Rehren helped improve the final version of the text.) I thank the IHES both for its hospitality during the period when this work was started and for its support that made possible my participation in the Workshop in honor of Michel Dubois-Violette. I also thank the Theory Division of the Department of Physics of CERN for hospitality and support during the completion of this paper.

References

- [BNRT07] B. Bakalov, N.M. Nikolov, K.-H. Rehren, I. Todorov, Unitary positive energy representations of scalar bilocal quantum fields, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **281** (2007) 223-246; math-ph/0604069.
- [BNRT08] B. Bakalov, N.M. Nikolov, K.-H. Rehren, I. Todorov, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras in 4D conformal quantum field theory, *J. Phys. A* (2008); arXiv:0711.0627 [hep-th].
- [B76] K. Baumann, There are no scalar Lie fields in three or more dimensional space-time, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **47** (1976) 69-74.
- [DMPPT] V.K. Dobrev, G. Mack, V.B. Petkova, S.G. Petrova, I.T. Todorov, *Harmonic Analysis on the n -Dimensional Lorentz Group and Its Application to Conformal Quantum Field Theory*, Lecture Notes in Physics **63**, Springer, Berlin 1977.
- [DO01] F.A. Dolan, H. Osborn, Conformal four point functions and operator product expansion, *Nucl. Phys.* **B599** (2001) 459-496.
- [DR90] S. Doplicher, J. Roberts, Why there is a field algebra with a compact gauge group describing the superselection structures in particle physics, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **131** (1990) 51-107.
- [EHW] T. Enright, R. How, N. Wallach, A classification of unitary highest weight modules, in: *Representation Theory of Reductive Groups*, Progress in Mathematics **40**, Birkhäuser, Basel 1983, pp. 97-143.
- [GS91] M. Günaydin, R. Scalise, Unitary lowest weight representations of the non-compact supergroup $OSp(2m^*|2n)$, *J. Math. Phys.* **32** (1991) 599-606.
- [H] R. Haag, *Local Quantum Physics: Fields, Particles, Algebras*, Springer, N.Y. 1992.
- [H85] R. Howe, Dual pairs in physics: Harmonic oscillators, photons, electrons, and singletons, in: *Applications of Group Theory in Physics and Mathematical Physics*, M. Flato, P. Sally, G. Zuckerman (Eds.), *Lectures in Appl. Math.*, vol. **21**, Amer.Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1985, pp.179-206.
- [H89] R. Howe, Remarks on classical invariant theory, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **313** (1989) 539-570.
- [K] V. Kac, *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*, 2nd ed., AMS, Providence, R.I. 1998.
- [L67] J.H. Lowenstein, The existence of scalar Lie fields, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **6** (1967) 49-60.

- [M77] G. Mack, All unitary representations of the conformal group $SU(2, 2)$ with positive energy, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **55** (1977) 1-28.
- [MR07] G. Mack, M. de Riese, Simple symmetries: Generalizing conformal field theory, *J. Math. Phys.* **48** (2007) 052304-1-21; hep-th/0410277.
- [N05] N.M. Nikolov, Vertex algebras in higher dimensions and globally conformal invariant quantum field theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **253** (2005) 283-322; hep-th/0307235.
- [NRT05] N.M. Nikolov, K.-H. Rehren, I.T. Todorov, Partial wave expansions and Wightman positivity in conformal field theory, *Nucl. Phys.* **B722** (2005) 266-296; hep-th/0504146.
- [NRT08] N.M. Nikolov, K.-H. Rehren, I.T. Todorov, Harmonic bilocal fields generated by globally conformal invariant scalar fields, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **279** (2008) 225-250, arXiv:0704.1960 [hep-th]; Pole structure and biharmonic fields in conformal QFT in four dimensions, in: *LT7 - Lie Theory and Its Applications in Physics*, ed. V. Dobrev, Heron Press, Sofia, 2008, arXiv:0711.0628 [hep-th].
- [NST02] N.M. Nikolov, Ya.S. Stanev, I.T. Todorov, Four dimensional CFT models with rational correlation functions, *J. Phys. A* **35** (2002) 2985-3007; hep-th/0110230.
- [NST03] N.M. Nikolov, Ya.S. Stanev, I.T. Todorov, Globally conformal invariant gauge field theory with rational correlation functions, *Nucl. Phys.* **B670** (2003) 373-400; hep-th/0305200.
- [NT01] N.M. Nikolov, I.T. Todorov, Rationality of conformally invariant correlation functions on compactified Minkowski space, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **218** (2001) 417-436; hep-th/0009004.
- [NT05] N.M. Nikolov, I.T. Todorov, Elliptic thermal correlation functions and modular forms in a globally conformal invariant QFT, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **17** (2005) 613-667; hep-th/0403191
- [R64] D.W. Robinson, On a soluble model of relativistic field theory, *Physics Lett.* **9** (1964) 189-190.
- [S90] M.U. Schmidt, Lowest weight representations of some infinite dimensional groups on Fock spaces, *Acta Appl. Math.* **18** (1990) 59-84.
- [T86] I.T. Todorov, Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras in conformal QFT models, in: A.O. Barut, H.-D. Doebner (eds.), *Conformal Groups and Related Symmetries. Physical Results and Mathematical Background*, pp. 387-443, *Lecture Notes in Physics* **261**, Springer, Berlin 1986.
- [U63] A. Uhlmann, The closure of Minkowski space, *Acta Phys. Pol.* **24** (1963) 295-296.

- [Zh96] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9**:1 (1996) 237-302.