

RESTRICTED SET ADDITION: THE EXCEPTIONAL CASE OF THE ERDŐS–HEILBRONN CONJECTURE

GYULA KÁROLYI¹ Department of Algebra and Number Theory, Eötvös University, Pázmány P. sétány 1/C, Budapest, H-1117 Hungary

ABSTRACT. Let $A \neq B$ be nonempty subsets of the group of integers modulo a prime p . If $p \geq |A| + |B| - 2$, then at least $|A| + |B| - 2$ different residue classes can be represented as $a + b$, where $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $a \neq b$. This result complements the solution of a problem of Erdős and Heilbronn obtained by Alon, Nathanson, and Ruzsa.

1. THE RESULT

For nonempty subsets A, B of an abelian group G define their restricted sumset as

$$A \dot{+} B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B, a \neq b\}.$$

Concerning a conjecture of Erdős and Heilbronn [10, 11], in 1994 Dias da Silva and Hamidoune [6] established the inequality

$$|A \dot{+} A| \geq \min\{p, 2|A| - 3\}$$

via exterior algebra methods in the case when $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is a cyclic group of prime order. With an application of the polynomial method of Alon and Tarsi

¹Visiting I.H.É.S. Research partially supported by Hungarian Scientific Research Grants OTKA T043623 and T043631.

[4], Alon, Nathanson, and Ruzsa [2, 3] obtained the more comprehensive result

$$(1) \quad |A \dot{+} B| \geq \min\{p, |A| + |B| - 2\}$$

whenever $|A| \neq |B|$, which clearly implies the relation

$$|A \dot{+} B| \geq \min\{p, |A| + |B| - 3\}$$

in general. Some ramifications in elementary abelian p -groups have been explored in a series of papers by Eliahou and Kervaire [7, 8, 9].

However, $|A \dot{+} B| \geq |A| + |B| - 2$ holds in every torsion free abelian group whenever $A \neq B$ (see e.g. [14]), thus (1) has been expected to be also valid in $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ when $A \neq B$, but the existing methods do not work under the condition $|A| = |B|$, $A \neq B$. The purpose of the present paper is to circumvent this seemingly technical problem employing the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz of Alon [1]. Thus we prove

Theorem 1. *Let $A \neq B$ be nonempty subsets of the additive group of a field of characteristic p . Then $|A \dot{+} B| \geq \min\{p, |A| + |B| - 2\}$.*

Coupled with the results of [15] this yields the following

Corollary 2. *Let A, B be nonempty subsets of the additive group of a field of characteristic $p \geq |A| + |B| - 2$. Then $|A \dot{+} B| \geq |A| + |B| - 2$, unless $A = B$ and one of the following holds:*

- (i) $|A| = 2$ or $|A| = 3$;
- (ii) $|A| = 4$, and $A = \{a, a + d, c, c + d\}$;
- (iii) $|A| \geq 5$, and A is an arithmetic progression.

2. THE PROOF

Denote the field of characteristic p at issue by F . If $|A| + |B| - 2 > p$, then there exist nonempty subsets $A' \subseteq A$ and $B' \subseteq B$ such that $|A'| + |B'| - 2 = p$ and $A' \neq B'$. Since $A' \dot{+} B' \subseteq A \dot{+} B$, it is enough to prove Theorem 1 for the pair A', B' . Thus we may assume that $p \geq |A| + |B| - 2$. The statement is obvious if $p = 2$, so we also assume that p is an odd prime, or $p = \infty$.

If A and B are *arbitrary* nonempty subsets of F with $p \geq |A| + |B| - 2$, then $|A \dot{+} B| \geq |A| + |B| - 3$. Indeed, if $|A| \neq |B|$, then in fact $|A \dot{+} B| \geq |A| + |B| - 2$ as it was proven by Alon, Nathanson, and Ruzsa in [2], see Theorem 1 therein.

Although it is formally stated only for prime fields, the proof works in arbitrary fields, as they mention it at the end of the paper. If $|A| = |B| \geq 2$, then this applied for the sets A and $B' = B \setminus \{b\}$ for any $b \in B$ gives

$$|A \dot{+} B| \geq |A \dot{+} B'| \geq |A| + |B'| - 2 = |A| + |B| - 3.$$

If one of the sets has only one element, then the statement is obvious. Accordingly, we only have to prove the following version of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. *Let A, B be subsets of a field F of characteristic $p > 2$ such that $|A| = |B| = k \geq 2$ and $p \geq 2k - 1$. If $|A \dot{+} B| = 2k - 3$, then $A = B$.*

Assume that $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$, $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$, and put

$$C = A \dot{+} B = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2k-3}\}.$$

The polynomial $f \in F[x, y]$ defined as

$$f(x, y) = (x - y) \prod_{i=1}^{2k-3} (x + y - c_i)$$

has the property that $f(a_i, b_j) = 0$ for any $1 \leq i, j \leq k$. Recall the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz of Alon [1]:

Lemma 4. *Let F be an arbitrary field and let $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be a polynomial in $F[x_1, \dots, x_k]$. Let $S_1, \dots, S_k$ be nonempty finite subsets of F and define $g_i(x_i) = \prod_{s \in S_i} (x_i - s)$. If $f(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) = 0$ for all $s_i \in S_i$, then there exist polynomials $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k \in F[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ satisfying $\deg(h_i) \leq \deg(f) - \deg(g_i)$ such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^k h_i g_i$.*

Accordingly, we introduce the polynomials

$$g(x) = \prod_{i=1}^k (x - a_i) = x^k - \alpha_1 x^{k-1} + \alpha_2 x^{k-2} - \dots + (-1)^k \alpha_k$$

and

$$h(y) = \prod_{i=1}^k (y - b_i) = y^k - \beta_1 y^{k-1} + \beta_2 y^{k-2} - \dots + (-1)^k \beta_k,$$

where $\alpha_i = \sigma_i(A)$ and $\beta_i = \sigma_i(B)$ are the elementary symmetric functions of $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$ resp. $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k$. In view of Lemma 4, there exist polynomials $q, r \in F[x, y]$ of degree at most $k - 2$ such that

$$(2) \quad f(x, y) = q(x, y)g(x) - r(y, x)h(y).$$

Writing

$$q(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} q_i(x, y), \quad r(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} r_i(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad f_i(x, y) = (x - y)(x + y)^{i-1},$$

where p_i, r_i, f_i are homogeneous polynomials of degree i , with the additional notations $\gamma_i = \sigma_i(C)$ ($1 \leq i \leq 2k - 3$) and

$$q_{-1} = q_{-2} = r_{-1} = r_{-2} = 0, \quad \alpha_0 = \beta_0 = \gamma_0 = 1,$$

Eq. (2) implies the following equations of homogeneous polynomials of degree $2k - 2 - t$ for every integer $0 \leq t \leq k$:

$$(3) \quad (-1)^t \gamma_t f_{2k-2-t}(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^t (-1)^{t-j} \{ \alpha_{t-j} q_{k-2-j}(x, y) x^{k-t+j} - \beta_{t-j} r_{k-2-j}(y, x) y^{k-t+j} \}.$$

Finally writing

$$q_i(x, y) = \sum_{u+v=i} A_{uv} x^u y^v \quad \text{and} \quad r_i(x, y) = \sum_{u+v=i} B_{uv} x^u y^v$$

we find that the equations (3) encode certain relations between the coefficients A_{uv}, B_{uv} and the numbers $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i$. The careful study of these relations, after a technical elimination process that we postpone until the next section, results in the following

Lemma 5. *For every integer $1 \leq t \leq k$, $\alpha_t = \beta_t$ and $u + v = k - 2 - t$ implies $A_{uv} = B_{uv}$.*

Consequently, $g(z) = h(z)$. It means that $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$ and $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k$ are the roots of the same polynomial of degree k , hence $A = B$ as claimed. It only remains to prove Lemma 5.

3. DETAILS

For $1 \leq i \leq 2k - 3$, let

$$f_i(x, y) = (x - y)(x + y)^{i-1} = \sum_{u+v=i} C_{uv} x^u y^v.$$

Then $C_{i,0} = 1$, $C_{0,i} = -1$, and in case $u, v \neq 0$ we have

$$C_{uv} = -C_{vu} = \binom{i-1}{u-1} - \binom{i-1}{u} = \frac{2u-i}{u} \binom{i-1}{u-1}.$$

Since $i < p$, $C_{uv} = 0$ if and only if i is even and $u = v = i/2$. Consider $C_{uv} + C_{u-1,v+1}$. If $u = i$, then it is

$$C_{i,0} + C_{i-1,1} = 1 + \binom{i-1}{i-2} - \binom{i-1}{i-1} = i-1,$$

a nonzero element in F if $i > 1$. Similarly in the case $u = 1$,

$$C_{1,i-1} + C_{0,i} = 1 - i \neq 0.$$

In general, if $2 \leq u \leq i-1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} C_{uv} + C_{u-1,v+1} &= \frac{2u-i}{u} \binom{i-1}{u-1} + \frac{2u-2-i}{u-1} \binom{i-1}{u-2} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{2u-i}{u} \cdot \frac{i-u+1}{u-1} + \frac{2u-2-i}{u-1} \right\} \binom{i-1}{u-2} \\ &= \frac{i(i-2v-1)}{u(u-1)} \binom{i-1}{u-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved:

Claim 6. *If $i > 1$, then $C_{uv} + C_{u-1,v+1} = 0$ if and only if $i - 2v - 1 = 0$.*

We prove Lemma 5 by induction on t . Note that if $t > k-2$, then by definition $u + v = k-2-t$ implies $A_{uv} = B_{uv} = 0$. For the initial step, $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 1$ by definition. Let $u + v = k-2$. To see that $A_{uv} = B_{uv}$, consider Eq. (3) for $t = 0$. It reads as

$$\sum_{u+v=2k-2} C_{uv} x^u y^v = \sum_{u+v=k-2} A_{uv} x^{u+k} y^v - \sum_{u+v=k-2} B_{uv} y^{u+k} x^v.$$

It follows that

$$(4) \quad B_{uv} = -C_{v,u+k} = C_{u+k,v} = A_{uv}.$$

For complete induction, let $1 \leq t \leq k$, and suppose that Lemma 5 has been already proved for smaller values of t . We start with the first statement. First we verify $\alpha_t = \beta_t$ in the case when t is even, that is, $t = 2s$ for some $s \geq 1$. We have $k-1-s \geq k-1-(t-1) \geq 0$. Consider the coefficient of the term $x^{k-1-s} y^{k-1-s}$ in Eq. (3). On the left hand side this coefficient is $(-1)^t \gamma_t C_{k-1-s, k-1-s} = 0$. In the polynomial $q_{k-2-j}(x, y) x^{k-t+j}$, the coefficient of $x^{k-1-s} y^{k-1-s}$ is $A_{s-1-j, k-1-s}$ if $j \leq s-1$ and 0 otherwise, whereas in $r_{k-2-j}(y, x) y^{k-t+j}$, the coefficient of the same term is $B_{s-1-j, k-1-s}$ if $j \leq s-1$ and 0 otherwise. Thus Eq. (3) implies

$$\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} (-1)^{t-j} \{ \alpha_{t-j} A_{s-1-j, k-1-s} - \beta_{t-j} B_{s-1-j, k-1-s} \} = 0.$$

Since $(s-1-j) + (k-1-s) = k-2-j$ and $s-1 < t$, based on the induction hypothesis we have $A_{s-1-j, k-1-s} = B_{s-1-j, k-1-s}$ and $\alpha_{t-j} = \beta_{t-j}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq s-1$. The summation can thus be reduced to the first term and we obtain

$$\alpha_t A_{s-1, k-1-s} - \beta_t B_{s-1, k-1-s} = 0.$$

Here $(s-1) + (k-1-s) = k-2$, and in view of Eq. (4)

$$A_{s-1, k-1-s} = B_{s-1, k-1-s} = C_{s-1+k, k-1-s} \neq 0,$$

since $s-1+k \neq k-1-s$, given that $s \geq 1$. It follows that $\alpha_t = \beta_t$.

If t is odd, that is, $t = 2s+1$ with some $s \geq 0$, then in Eq. (3) we consider the sum of the coefficients of the terms $x^{k-1-s}y^{k-2-s}$ and $x^{k-2-s}y^{k-1-s}$. (Note that $k-2-s \geq k-2-(t-2) \geq 0$, unless $k=t=1$, which is excluded by $k \geq 2$.) On the left hand side it is

$$(-1)^t \gamma_t (C_{k-1-s, k-2-s} + C_{k-2-s, k-1-s}) = 0.$$

Therefore Eq. (3) implies

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{j=0}^s (-1)^{t-j} \alpha_{t-j} A_{s-j, k-2-s} + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} (-1)^{t-j} \alpha_{t-j} A_{s-1-j, k-1-s} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^s (-1)^{t-j} \beta_{t-j} B_{s-j, k-2-s} - \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} (-1)^{t-j} \beta_{t-j} B_{s-1-j, k-1-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(s-j) + (k-2-s) = (s-1-j) + (k-1-s) = k-2-j$ and $s < t$, the induction hypothesis once again allows us to reduce the above equation to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (-1)^t \alpha_t A_{s, k-2-s} + (-1)^t \alpha_t A_{s-1, k-1-s} \\ &\quad - (-1)^t \beta_t B_{s, k-2-s} - (-1)^t \beta_t B_{s-1, k-1-s}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Eq. (4) this equation can be rewritten as

$$(\alpha_t - \beta_t)(C_{s+k, k-2-s} + C_{s-1+k, k-1-s}) = 0.$$

Since $(2k-2) - 2(k-2-s) - 1 = 2s+1 = t$ is not zero in F , in view of Claim 6 it follows that the second term is not zero, and we conclude that $\alpha_t - \beta_t = 0$, $\alpha_t = \beta_t$.

It remains to verify the second statement of the lemma under the additional assumption that the first statement has been already verified. Accordingly, we assume $t \leq k-2$, $\alpha_t = \beta_t$, and let $u+v = k-2-t$. On the left hand side of Eq. (3), the coefficient of $x^{u+k}y^v$ is $(-1)^t \gamma_t C_{u+k, v}$. If $0 \leq j \leq t$, then

$v \leq k - 2 - t < k - t + j$, thus in $r_{k-2-j}(y, x)y^{k-t+j}$ the coefficient of $x^{u+k}y^v$ is 0. Therefore on the right hand side of Eq. (3), the coefficient of $x^{u+k}y^v$ is

$$\sum_{j=0}^t (-1)^{t-j} \alpha_{t-j} A_{t-j+u,v}.$$

Consequently, Eq. (3) implies

$$\sum_{j=0}^t (-1)^{t-j} \alpha_{t-j} A_{t-j+u,v} = (-1)^t \gamma_t C_{u+k,v}.$$

Looking at the coefficient of $x^v y^{u+k}$ the same way we obtain

$$-\sum_{j=0}^t (-1)^{t-j} \beta_{t-j} B_{t-j+u,v} = (-1)^t \gamma_t C_{v,u+k}.$$

Since $C_{v,u+k} = -C_{u+k,v}$, it follows that

$$\sum_{j=0}^t (-1)^{t-j} \alpha_{t-j} A_{t-j+u,v} = \sum_{j=0}^t (-1)^{t-j} \beta_{t-j} B_{t-j+u,v}.$$

Because $(t-j+u)+v = k-2-j$, the induction hypothesis implies $A_{t-j+u,v} = B_{t-u+j,v}$ for $0 \leq j < t$. We have furthermore assumed $\alpha_{t-j} = \beta_{t-j}$ for all $0 \leq j \leq t$, therefore the above equality can be reduced to

$$(-1)^{t-t} \alpha_{t-t} A_{t-t+u,v} = (-1)^{t-t} \beta_{t-t} B_{t-t+u,v}.$$

Since $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 1$, we obtain $A_{uv} = B_{uv}$.

4. REMARKS

The strategy of the above proof is very similar to that of the inverse theorem contained in our previous work [15], and in fact the technical details are much more simple. In retrospect, the present paper should have preceded [15], but at that time it seemed very complicated to handle the restricted sumset of two different sets using the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz.

For any nontrivial group G , let $p(G)$ denote the order of the smallest nontrivial subgroup in G . In [12, 13] we extended the result of Dias da Silva and Hamidoune proving that

$$|A \dot{+} A| \geq \min\{p(G), 2|A| - 3\}$$

holds in any abelian group G . Further developing this technique and the method of group extensions introduced in [16], Balister and Wheeler [5] established

$$|A \dot{+} B| \geq \min\{p(G), |A| + |B| - 3\}$$

in every group. It is quite plausible, that Theorem 1 and Corollary 2 can also be generalized in the same spirit.

Acknowledgment Part of this work has been achieved thanks to the support of the European Commission through its 6th Framework Programme “Structuring the European Research Area” and the contract Nr. RITA-CT-2004-505493 for the provision of Transnational Access implemented as Specific Support Action.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. ALON, Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, *Combin. Prob. Comput.* **8** (1999) 7–29
- [2] N. ALON, M.B. NATHANSON, AND I.Z. RUZSA, Adding distinct congruence classes modulo a prime, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **102** (1995) 250–255
- [3] N. ALON, M.B. NATHANSON, AND I.Z. RUZSA, The polynomial method and restricted sums of congruence classes, *J. Number Th.* **56** (1996) 404–417
- [4] N. ALON AND M. TARSI, Colorings and orientations of graphs, *Combinatorica* **12** (1992) 125–134
- [5] P. BALISTER AND J.P. WHEELER, The Erdős–Heilbronn conjecture for finite groups, *Acta Arithmetica*, to appear
- [6] J.A. DIAS DA SILVA AND Y.O. HAMIDOUNE, Cyclic spaces for Grassmann derivatives and additive theory, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **26** (1994) 140–146
- [7] S. ELIAHOU AND M. KERVARE, Sumsets in vector spaces over finite fields, *J. Number Th.* **71** (1998) 12–39
- [8] S. ELIAHOU AND M. KERVARE, Restricted sums of sets of cardinality $1 + p$ in a vector space over F_p , *Discrete Math.* **235** (2001) 199–213
- [9] S. ELIAHOU AND M. KERVARE, Restricted sumsets in finite vector spaces: the case $p = 3$, *Integers* **1** (2001), Research paper A2, 19 pages (electronic)
- [10] P. ERDŐS, Some problems in number theory, in: *Computers in Number Theory* (A.O.L. Atkin and B.J. Birch, eds.), Academic Press, 1971, pp. 405–414
- [11] P. ERDŐS AND R.L. GRAHAM, Old and New Problems and Results in Combinatorial Number Theory, *L’Enseignement Mathématique*, Geneva, 1980
- [12] GY. KÁROLYI, On restricted set addition in abelian groups, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math.* **46** (2003) 47–54
- [13] GY. KÁROLYI, The Erdős–Heilbronn problem in abelian groups, *Israel J. Math.* **139** (2004) 349–359

- [14] GY. KÁROLYI, A compactness argument in the additive theory and the polynomial method, *Discrete Math.* **302** (2005) 124–144
- [15] GY. KÁROLYI, An inverse theorem for the restricted set addition in abelian groups, *J. Algebra* **290** (2005) 557–593
- [16] GY. KÁROLYI, Cauchy–Davenport theorem in group extensions, *Enseign. Math.* **51** (2005) 239–254

E-mail address: `karolyi@cs.elte.hu`